1	Wednesday, 3 December 2008
2	(10.00 am)
3	(Proceedings delayed)
4	(10.10 am)
13	(In the presence of the jury)
14	SUMMING-UP (continued)
15	SIR MICHAEL WRIGHT: Ladies and gentlemen, yesterday, before
16	we broke off, I had just started on, as you will
17	remember, the research that was being carried out to get
18	photographs, to try to get more photographs of
19	Hussain Osman, and I'll go back a little bit just to
20	fill you in again.
21	Shortly after 4 o'clock in the morning, instructions
22	were given to research intelligence on Hussain Osman,
23	and those researches were to reveal that he had been
24	stopped by police on a driving matter, and officers were
25	told to contact the driving licensing authorities, the

```
DVLA, and the immigration authorities, to obtain
 1
 2
         photographs and other information about Osman.
 3
             However, it appears that the DVLA photographs did
         not come through until about midday on the 22nd, and
 4
 5
         that was of course after the shooting had occurred in
         Stockwell, and the immigration authorities' photographs
 6
         came through later in the afternoon.
 7
             Detective Chief Inspector Southworth explained how
 8
         these things work, and it can take some hours to get
 9
         such photographs or intelligence from those sources.
10
11
         One thing that he said was that a problem with the DVLA
12
         was that the office in Swansea couldn't be accessed
         outside office hours. But since he gave that evidence,
13
         and you remember I told you that contrary to my ruling
14
         this is one fresh bit of evidence you are going to get,
15
16
         because it came in before the guillotine came down, as
         it were, and he has submitted another statement which
17
18
         I am going to read to you.
               Statement of DCI KEVIN SOUTHWORTH (read)
19
20
     SIR MICHAEL WRIGHT: This is Chief Inspector Southworth:
2.1
             "I make this witness statement further to my witness
         statement dated 8 October 2008 and further to my
22
23
         evidence in the inquest on the 10th.
24
             "In response to the Coroner's question on
         10 November as to the MPS/DVLA designated point of
```

```
contact was accessible outside office hours ... I said
 1
 2
         that at the time it was not. Again, when asked further
 3
         by Mr Mansfield Queen's Counsel about the matter ...
         I said that my understanding was that the MPS designated
 4
 5
         point of contact was limited to office hours, although
         I did qualify this by acknowledging the possibility of
 6
 7
         error ... and suggesting that DVLA themselves might be
         able to give a definitive answer.
 8
             "The reason I qualified my replies was that I am not
 9
         (and was not at the time) myself directly involved in
10
11
         conducting DVLA checks. As intelligence Detective
12
         Inspector, my role was to manage the intelligence unit,
         some of whose staff themselves carried out the check.
13
             "For this reason, before giving evidence on
14
15
         10 November I spoke on the phone with the MPS/DVLA
16
         liaison team to establish the route by which the Osman
17
         photo was obtained from DVLA on 22 July. I spoke to
         a colleague of Detective Constable Martin Edwards
18
19
         (DC Edwards himself being on annual leave that week) and
20
         my understanding from that discussion was that they only
2.1
         worked office hours. However, having now read the
22
         witness statement of DC Martin Edwards dated
         13 November, I accept that my understanding was
23
24
         erroneous and I am anxious to correct my evidence in
25
         this respect."
```

1	Statement of DC MARTIN EDWARDS (read)
2	SIR MICHAEL WRIGHT: I have also got, which I will read to
3	you, two statements from Detective Constable Edwards.
4	I won't read all of it, because there is quite a lot of
5	detailed technical stuff about how these things are
6	managed, but the gist of it is this: he says:
7	"I am a police officer with 28 years service based
8	at Cobalt Square, employed working within the
9	Metropolitan Police intelligence bureau. Any enquiries
10	made to the DVLA have to be made through a DVLA liaison
11	officer of which I am one of two such officers. In
12	2005, this would have included checks with DVLA for
13	terrorism."
14	Then he goes on to deal with the details of the
15	liaison and how it all works. He said that:
16	"The call-out procedure operated by DVLA for out of
17	hours requests has been in operation since 1985. The
18	call-out procedure in 2005 is the identical procedure as
19	used today."
20	Then he goes on to say:
21	"DVLA hours of operation are 8.15 am-4.30 pm Monday
22	to Friday. DVLA do not operate 24 hours a day [but]
23	should the Metropolitan Police Service require
24	information outside these core hours for
25	a life-threatening incident, the following procedure was

```
1 in place in 2005."
```

- I don't think, Ms Hill, I need to go through the
- 3 details of it.
- 4 MS H HILL: No, sir, it's just the very last part about the
- 5 timescale.
- 6 SIR MICHAEL WRIGHT: Absolutely. Then he sets out in
- 7 considerable detail, it's rather a complicated procedure
- 8 of ringing up people and calling them out and getting
- 9 them to come into the office. Essentially the summary,
- 10 he says: there is a procedure; it is possible to call
- 11 somebody out from the DVLA in Swansea, to go in, open up
- 12 the office, access the files and get the information
- 13 that's required.
- 14 "This procedure from start to finish normally takes
- no more than one to one and a half hours."
- 16 Then his second statement, Mr Edwards deals with
- 17 what he actually did on 22 July, in response to the
- 18 instruction to get the information that I told you
- 19 about. He sets out the details of the inquiry he made,
- and he said:
- 21 "I completed a Data Protection Act form requesting
- the photograph of Mr Hussain Ahmed Osman..."
- 23 Then he sets out date of birth and driver number and
- 24 that sort of thing:
- 25 "This I emailed to the DVLA in Swansea. I received

```
in return by email the photograph of Mr Hussain Ahmed
```

- 2 Osman [details again]. I produce a copy of this emailed
- 3 photograph..."
- 4 Which of course you have all seen the DVLA
- 5 photograph.
- 6 "My daily log which contains the details and result
- of any request, does not include any times [but] I have
- 8 subsequently made enquiries with DVLA as to the times
- 9 the request was made to the DVLA and the time the
- 10 photograph was despatched from the DVLA to the
- 11 Metropolitan Police. I produce a self-exhibiting
- 12 authenticated for court purposes print-out from DVLA
- 13 which shows the email from myself to the DVLA requesting
- 14 the photograph was received by them at 10.49 am on
- 15 [22 July 2005] and read..."
- 16 Then there is the detail of the inquiry made with
- 17 the attached Protection Act form.
- 18 "The photograph was emailed to the
- 19 Metropolitan Police by the DVLA at 12.10 pm..."
- Is that all we need, Ms Hill?
- 21 MS H HILL: Yes.
- 22 SIR MICHAEL WRIGHT: Thank you very much indeed. So now you
- 23 know the inquiry was made at 10.49, and the photograph
- 24 was sent at ten past 12, midday.
- 25 Another significant connection was made at around

1	this time. According to Mr Boutcher, researches on the
2	name Abdi Omar on police databases showed that he was
3	linked to Operation Ragstone. As you have heard, that
4	was a Special Branch operation in the Lake District in
5	May 2004, where a group of men gathered for what was
6	suspected to be a terrorist training exercise.

Over 300 photographs had been taken in the course of that operation. Hussain Osman appeared in a number of the photographs. Some of the people on the photographs had been identified by the police by name, but Osman had not. The Ragstone photographs were viewed from around 11.40 am in the morning of 22 July, again after the shooting.

At 4.20 am, Mr McDowall had a meeting in which he was briefed on the developing intelligence picture. He noted in his log the names of the two suspects, Osman and Omar, and the address 21 Scotia Road. He noted the link with Ragstone, and details of a number of vehicles that had been seen during Ragstone. He noted that the scene examiners had found in the rucksack at Shepherd's Bush some torn up correspondence with the name Elias Girma. He noted a vehicle connected with Ragstone which was registered to Yeshiembet Girma at 40 Blair House, Stockwell Gardens. There were a number of other enquiries of which he appraised himself,

```
1
         including, you remember, those at certain prisons.
 2
             At 4.55 in the morning on 22 July, Mr McDowall set
 3
         his Gold strategy. By this time, he had one priority
         address, 21 Scotia Road. He had two suspects, Osman and
 4
 5
         Omar. He had the gym photographs for those two, and he
         had CCTV, closed-circuit television, stills from the two
 6
 7
         scenes.
             At the time of setting the strategy, Mr McDowall
 8
         thought that 21 Scotia Road was a single address with
 9
10
         its own front door, and not, as we now know, a flat
11
         within a block which had a communal front door. He
         decided that the operation should be a covert one and
12
         recorded it as follows -- and you probably remember
13
         these fairly cryptic notes which I'll interpret for you
14
15
         in a minute:
16
             "Gold firearms strategy in respect of premises --
         control. Challenge. Stopped."
17
             Then "W", which is short for "with"; "CO19 recce",
18
         short for "reconnaissance".
19
20
             "4.55 am Silver to be ident [identified] each plot
         to liaise [with] Silver DSO [designated senior officer]
21
         Cress [short, of course, for Cressida Dick] (consult
22
         CDR Carter) and update accord to developing int [short
23
```

In other words the strategy was to try to control

for intelligence]."

```
the premises through covert surveillance, to follow any
 1
 2
         person leaving those premises until it was felt safe to
 3
         challenge them and then stop them. Because it was
         a covert operation, this would mean taking them under
 4
 5
         surveillance control some distance away from the
 6
         premises.
 7
             The stop element would be carried out by specialist
         firearms officers from CO19. Ideally he would have
 8
 9
         wanted a firearms team and a surveillance team to take
10
         up position as soon as possible and certainly intended
11
         that the firearms team should be in place as soon as
12
         possible after the surveillance team had got there.
13
             He was hoping that the firearms team would have been
         there by 7 o'clock in the morning.
14
             From 5 o'clock onwards, Mr McDowall had a rolling
15
16
         series of meetings, as the various officers came to his
17
         office to brief him and to receive information. DCI,
18
         Detective Chief Inspector Mellody, contacted the SO13
19
         operations room for an officer who had completed the
2.0
         Inspector Firearms Command Accreditation Training,
2.1
         IFCAT, to act as Silver Commander for a firearms
22
         operation as soon as possible.
             By 4.57, Detective Inspector Merrick Rose was
23
24
         informed that he was required to Silver a firearms
25
         operation and was to attend New Scotland Yard.
```

1	At 5 o'clock in the morning, Derek, the team leader
2	of the red surveillance team, was at New Scotland Yard,
3	and he was aware of the emerging intelligence. He went
4	to the 16th floor operations room where he spoke to the
5	officer using the pseudonym Alan. He was the deputy
6	co-ordinator of the ops room. Alan informed him of the
7	requirement to deploy the red team, and you will know
8	from the start time in the red team log that they were
9	actually in position in the Scotia Road vicinity by four
L O	minutes past 6 that morning.
11	Central 2402, who we saw at a very late stage in the
12	evidence, he was the leader of the blue surveillance
13	team, and he was also instructed at about 5 o'clock to
L 4	get his team to New Scotland Yard as soon as possible.
15	Just in brackets, just to remind you, they were the team
16	that eventually went to Portnall Road.
L7	You may think that the position about firearms teams
18	is rather less clear. Alan has a note which he made
19	timed at 5.05 am, and I quote:
20	"ZAJ tac adviser called and team deployed."
21	He thinks that around this time he called
22	Inspector ZAJ, said they had identified premises linked
23	to the attacks, the $21/7$ attacks, and that Mr McDowall
24	wanted a firearms team to go to 21 Scotia Road as soon
25	as was practicable. Alan says that ZAJ told him that

```
a team would be deployed, and Alan's telephone records
 1
         show a call to Inspector ZAJ at 17 minutes past 5 that
 2
 3
         morning.
             ZAJ says that he was not told about this
 4
 5
         requirement. However, at seven minutes past 5, ZAJ
         telephoned Alpha 1, who was the leader of the orange
 6
 7
         firearms team. They were the oncall night duty firearms
 8
         team.
             ZAJ thought that he made this call after
 9
         a discussion with Andrew, in which it was decided to
10
11
         bring the team to New Scotland Yard in case an overt
12
         strategy required them to deploy, and it would then be
         easier for them to deploy from that central location.
13
         And indeed, at some time between seven minutes past 5
14
         and 20 past 5, the orange team were called to New
15
16
         Scotland Yard. They were fully equipped, fully armed,
         and you remember they settled down in the canteen.
17
             At 15 minutes past 5, Mr McDowall records that he
18
         met with the firearms tactical advisers. He thought
19
2.0
         that it was Andrew and ZAJ. Mr Mellody, DCI Mellody,
2.1
         also thinks that Andrew was one of those present, but
         Andrew believes that he was not there.
22
             At 5.17 and 5.25, Andrew made calls to Mr Boutcher
23
24
         lasting for four and six seconds, and at 5.25 he also
```

called Angie Scott, Detective Chief Inspector Scott.

1	However, Andrew does not recall being made aware of
2	the covert strategy by Mr McDowall or being aware of any
3	discussions about arrangements to deploy a firearms team
4	to Scotia Road.

2.1

So you will want to consider, when you consider all that evidence, whether all this represents a failure of recollection on the part of Andrew subsequently -- and here, as elsewhere, in all respects, you will have to make allowance for the passage of time since 2005 -- or does it represent misunderstandings and failures of communication at the time these events were actually happening?

DCI Scott remembers getting a call from Andrew in which he asked whether she was going to be the Silver on an operation, and she contacted the SO13 ops room at 5.28, asking to be updated on SO12 and CO19 deployment towards the subject of interest.

At 5.35, it is recorded that Mr Mellody told her that Mr Rose had been identified to be the Silver. By that time, Mr Rose was being updated by Mr McDowall, who outlined the Scotia Road strategy to him. Then at 5.45, those present in Mr McDowall's office were told that they now had the latest address for Abdi Omar, and that was 61A Portnall Road. It was accordingly decided that there was a need for two firearms operations.

1	According to Mr Rose, Andrew said that there would
2	be sufficient teams on from 7 o'clock. When Mr Andrew
3	gave evidence, he told you that the grey team could not
4	have been deployed because they had only gone off duty
5	at 4 o'clock, and they had been on duty for 20 hours or
6	more. But what about the orange team, who had been at
7	New Scotland Yard waiting in the canteen since about 20
8	past 5?

The suggestion was made many times in these proceedings that, given the emerging intelligence and the available resources, it would have been entirely sensible to have called the orange team to New Scotland Yard as a central location from where they could deploy as needed. It's been suggested that it would have been sensible not to deploy them immediately to Scotia Road when it might have been anticipated that other addresses would come to light which might require a firearms team.

On the other hand, no-one says or recalls now that orange team was actually called to New Scotland Yard for that reason. Again, is this a suggestion made with the benefit of hindsight, which you have been cautioned so often against, or was there at the least some misunderstanding or miscommunication at the time, or is it simply a failure of recollection by those who were involved in those decisions?

```
1
             Meanwhile, DCI Purser was telephoned, I think he was
 2
         at home, and he was told to be at New Scotland Yard by
 3
         6.30 am. Inspector ZAJ contacted Trojan 84, who was the
         firearms tactical adviser for the black team, and told
 4
 5
         him that he and his firearms team would be going to
 6
         Scotia Road.
             At ten to 7, 6.50, McDowall chaired a large meeting,
 7
         a formal update briefing, and you saw a list of those
 8
         present. You will recall that it was at this meeting
 9
10
         that Mr Purser volunteered to be the Silver for
11
         Scotia Road. Mr Rose volunteered to do the same job for
         Portnall Road. While this meeting was going on, you
12
         heard that the first member of the blue surveillance
13
         team arrived in the vicinity of Portnall Road, and that
14
15
         was at eight minutes past 7.
16
             Commander Dick, as she then was, Deputy Assistant
         Commissioner Dick as she now is, joined the meeting
17
         a little late. That wasn't her fault. She had been
18
19
         given the wrong location, the 16th floor ops room, and
20
         while she had waited there, she had been updated by Alan
         and by Detective Chief Inspector Noel Baker, who was the
21
         ops room co-ordinator. In any event, before she left
22
23
         the meeting, she spoke to Mr McDowall, who told her that
24
         surveillance teams had gone to Scotia Road and
         Portnall Road, that arrangements had been made in
2.5
```

```
respect of the black and orange teams, and he went
 2
         through for her the strategy that he had set. He also
 3
         checked whether Ms Dick needed anything else.
             Going back to the firearms arrangements, Mr Purser
 4
 5
         and Mr Rose obtained a full intelligence briefing from
         Mr Mellody before deploying to the respective addresses
 6
 7
         that they were responsible for. Ms Dick went to the
         operations room and to a meeting in the forward
 8
         intelligence cell. You remember the little room to the
 9
10
         right-hand side as you saw it on the plan. That was at
11
         about 8 o'clock. She thinks that it was shortly before
12
         she went to that meeting that she became aware of the
         true nature of the premises at Scotia Road, the communal
13
         block.
14
15
             At that meeting, she indicated that she would be in
16
         charge of the operation, and not merely in respect of
         any decisions that would have to be taken by a DSO. The
17
         strategy was discussed and written up on a board.
18
             Back to firearms. The black team came on duty at
19
2.0
         Leman Street at 7 o'clock in the morning. First of all,
21
         Ralph, the team leader, gave the team a postings
         briefing and you saw a list, and you have it in your
22
         bundle, of the various cars to which the various team
23
24
         members were allocated. They booked out their weapons,
         a process which takes a little time.
2.5
```

Τ	At 7.45, they were briefed by Trojan 84. They were
2	told, among other things, that they might have to use
3	unusual tactics, and that was a reference to the
4	possibility of a critical shot., and that they should
5	trust the information that they were given. The vital
6	point, you may think, was to make sure that any subject
7	they were directed towards had in fact been correctly
8	identified as a would-be suicide bomber.
9	Trojan 84 acknowledged the importance of briefings,
10	and he said that he thought that Charlie 2 and
11	Charlie 12 were put in an invidious position, and you
12	may remember this because this was an impassioned
13	outburst that he gave you and I'm going to read it to
14	you. He said this:
15	"There is no doubt in my mind about that. And the
16	mindset played a huge part in relation to it. I mean,
17	in relation to what those officers thought in relation
18	to all those briefings, I am sure they tried to justify
19	it in their own minds as to what all this meant, but
20	even when I delivered the line, I mean one of the guys
21	in his statement has put in 'because of the urgency in
22	his voice'. I mean, that, coupled with the positive
23	identification of a suicide bomber from the day before
24	and all of the mindset, all of the briefing, I am sure
25	had a huge impact on the way they thought.

```
"And that is the crying shame in all of this, you
 1
 2
         know, we released 2 and 12 into an impossible situation
 3
         where they had to make sense, because of the individual
         officer responsibility, they were given the judgment
 4
 5
         call, and that is unbelievably outrageous that they
         should be left with that responsibility. I mean, we
 6
         can't do it any other way and it was difficult, but
 7
         yeah, I think you are absolutely right in that, the
 8
         concertinaing of all the information, I'm sure had
 9
10
         a huge part in the outcome."
11
             That was what he said to you.
             About ten past 8 they began making their way to
12
         police premises at Nightingale Lane for the briefing by
13
         Detective Chief Inspector Purser. The control car
14
15
         picked him up from New Scotland Yard en route, and
16
         Mr Purser's briefing began at about 8.45 am, and
         concluded by about 9.15 when Mr Purser gave the team the
17
18
         guidelines about when it was permissible to use force.
19
         You will remember, you have seen it on the blue card,
20
         and it was also set out in his briefing note.
2.1
             It seems that at the time those who were briefed
         took the view that it had been a thorough and
22
         comprehensive briefing. You heard evidence that in the
23
24
         course of it, the team were told that the suicide
         bombers were deadly and determined. Some recalled
```

```
Mr Purser also saying that the bombers were "up for it".
 1
 2
         He doesn't accept that he would have used those words.
 3
             Mr Mansfield criticised the briefing as being
         unbalanced. Mr McDowall accepted that a balanced
 4
 5
         briefing would include a warning to firearms officers
         that the bombers may not be at the address in question.
 6
 7
         Mr Boutcher agreed that it should include a reference to
 8
         the fact that a person who emerged may or may not be
 9
         a bomber from that day or a previous day. I will go
10
         back to the briefing in much greater detail when
11
         I discuss with you the evidence that Charlie 12 gave.
             At the end of the briefing, Mr Purser briefed the
12
         SO13 team, including Sergeant Dingemans, who, you
13
         remember, was -- anti-terrorist team who would make any
14
         stops that did not involve or did not need to involve
15
16
         the firearms officers.
             Now, what, in the meantime, had been going on at
17
         Scotia Road with the red surveillance team who had begun
18
         at four minutes past 6? Well, Derek told you that it
19
20
         was immediately obvious to the team that they were
         dealing with a communal block of flats, and he said he
21
         would have relayed this back to the control room. He
22
23
         understood that any subjects were to be stopped by SO19,
24
         but he was concerned to know where SO19 were. He made
```

a number of telephone enquiries from the control room,

```
complaining that they didn't have the necessary support.
 1
 2
         He was never given a satisfactory answer for the absence
 3
         of firearms support. He was, as he thought, being
         fobbed off with explanations such as "they are on their
 4
         way", or "they are being briefed", or "they will be
 5
         there soon".
 6
             Derek was aware of the TA Centre in
 7
         Upper Tulse Hill, and he drew the attention of the ops
 8
         room to this facility in the first half hour of the
 9
10
         surveillance. The response was that this was being
11
         looked into. Derek was also aware that there were bus
12
         stops within a reasonably short walking distance from
         Scotia Road, so that any suspected suicide terrorist
13
         would be able to get on a bus within a very short time
14
         of leaving the premises, and in the light of the events
15
16
         of the previous day, Derek thought that this was
17
         something to be avoided.
18
             The bus stops that were causing Derek particular
19
         concern were the ones in Upper Tulse Hill, almost
20
         opposite Marnfield Crescent, and he asked his monitor if
21
         he could arrange for the bus services to be suspended.
22
         It appears at one stage members of the team thought that
23
         the bus services had been suspended, but it is apparent
24
         that they had not been, and that was for fear of drawing
```

attention to the fact that something was going on in the

```
1
         area.
 2
             Ms Dick told you that she was adamant that services
 3
         should not be suspended for that reason, but there are
         indications that some people thought that they had been.
 4
 5
             Well, is that an indication, do you think, of some
 6
         problem or inadequacy in the communication of
 7
         information to and from the control room to the teams?
             Well, once the briefing at Nightingale Lane had
 8
         finished, Ralph and Trojan -- Ralph sent a car, the
 9
         Alpha car, 805A, Ralph sent a car containing Charlie 5,
10
11
         Charlie 2 and Charlie 6 to the TA Centre. They were the
12
         leading car.
             At 9.27, Charlie 2 telephoned Ralph to tell him that
13
         they had arrived at the centre, and then the remaining
14
15
         vehicles began to make their way there. The next one to
16
         arrive was Charlie car, 805C, and that arrived next.
         The journey time involved was between five and eight
17
18
         minutes.
             At about the same time, 9.33 or 9.34, the entry
19
20
         times in some logs vary, Jean Charles de Menezes left
21
         the block at Scotia Road. He was seen by Frank of the
         red team, who was in a van parked nearby. Frank was
22
         unable to take any film of him, as you heard, but he
23
24
         described him physically as being 5-foot 8 inches, dark
```

hair, stubble, and he thought that he was a white,

```
that's IC1, male. He said he more or less disregarded
 1
 2
         him at that stage, but as Mr de Menezes passed the van,
 3
         Frank compared him with the photograph that he had of
         Osman and Frank then transmitted that he thought it was
 4
 5
         worth somebody else having a look.
 6
             To do that, he used the Airwave system, which the
 7
         ops room could not monitor, Airwave radio system. But
         the message was repeated over the Cougar system, which
 8
 9
         the ops room was monitoring.
10
             Edward, also of the red team, was parked in
11
         a car park opposite the junction with Marnfield Crescent
12
         in Upper Tulse Hill. He saw Mr de Menezes as he
         approached the junction of Marnfield Crescent and
13
         Upper Tulse Hill and turned left towards Tulse Hill
14
         itself. He described him as North African.
15
16
             Tango 2 of the red team also saw Jean Charles, but
         he was too far away to make an identification. Then of
17
18
         course, as you remember, as Jean Charles moved away from
19
         close to Scotia Road and along Upper Tulse Hill, grey
2.0
         team took over from red team.
2.1
             Harry, grey team officer, followed Jean Charles in
         Upper Tulse Hill and noticed that he was looking over
22
23
         his shoulder, acting in a wary manner, and appeared
```

James and Ken, in one car, drove past Jean Charles.

24

nervous.

```
James got a view of the side of Jean Charles' face, and
 1
 2
         a partial view of the front of his face. He dropped Ken
 3
         off, and Ken then walked towards Jean Charles but was
         unable to see his face. He saw him go to the bus stop
 4
 5
         at Tulse Hill and get on the number 2 bus, and it looks
         as though he got on the bus at 9.38 am, and we are
 6
 7
         calling it Lambeth time, to make the times consonant
 8
         with, at any rate, one set of clocks.
 9
             James took the opportunity to look at the photograph
10
         of Osman or Nettle Tip as the codename was being given
         to him, and he thought that Jean Charles was possibly
11
         identical with him, and he recorded in his log:
12
             "LJ03 MXL [that's the bus number] red bus number 2
13
         double decker N [north] in Tulse Hill towards Brixton
14
15
         PIW [possibly identical with] NT [Nettle Tip] on bus all
16
         in denim."
             That, as I said, PIW, possibly identical with, NT,
17
         short for Nettle Tip, the codename for the suspect
18
19
         Hussain Osman.
             In a supplementary entry in the log, he describes
20
         him as wearing a denim jacket and jeans, faded, was
21
         about 5-foot 10 inches tall, and stocky. He had
22
         collar-length black hair and stubble and a wide face.
23
             At 9.41, James contacted Pat in the ops room -- pat,
2.4
         you will remember, was the surveillance monitor working
25
```

```
in the ops room -- and told him that Jean Charles was
 1
 2
         PIW-NT. Pat's log has an entry with a time of 9.34 am:
             "U/I IC6/IC2 male, fattish face, stubbled, 5-foot
 3
         10 inches, PIW-NT in jeans out of communal door."
 4
             "U/I" means "unidentified". The code "IC2" is used
 5
         to indicate that a person is of dark European
 6
 7
         appearance, while "IC6" indicates that a person is of
         North African appearance.
 8
             Significantly, Pat accepts that not all of the
 9
10
         information in this entry may have been recorded at the
11
         same time; he may have made in effect a composite entry
12
         of a number of reports.
             Callum was with Mr Esposito, and was keeping
13
         a record. That record states that at 9.42:
14
             "Male from Scotia Road information unconfirmed as at
15
16
         9.42 am. IC1 male from address may or may not be
         subject now on bus."
17
             Ivor then drove ahead of the bus, parked his car and
18
19
         got on the bus around the area of Brixton Water Lane.
2.0
         He sat in the disabled seating area in the bus and
2.1
         Jean Charles was sitting downstairs at the back of the
22
         bus facing towards.
             At 9.43 Harry telephoned Ivor, who told him that he
23
24
         could not positively identify the subject as Nettle Tip,
25
         but did say that he said he had distinctive eyes. He
```

```
said Mongolian looking. Harry then confirmed over the
 1
 2
         radio that the subject was on the bus and words to the
 3
         effect that Ivor could not confirm that he was
         Nettle Tip.
 4
 5
             There then appears to be an example, or perhaps
         another example of a misunderstanding or a failure in
 6
 7
         communication. I say that because at around 9.45
         Trojan 84 and the ops room understood that Jean Charles
 8
         was believed not to be the subject, rather than it was
 9
         undecided. At 9.45 there was a four-second call from
10
11
         the ops room to James. Owen's note about that
12
         conversation reads as follows:
             "CD to greys. [CD, I think, was Cressida Dick]
13
         Telephone. Don't think it's him. Three to four minutes
14
         of follow. CD Q [question] why still following. Call
15
16
         to team leader to establish."
17
             Then Callum's log has an entry at 9.46 am:
             "Not ident male as above discounted. Surveillance
18
19
         team to withdraw to original positions."
2.0
             As a result of that, the decision was taken to
21
         deploy the SO13 arrest team, not a firearms team, to
         conduct a stop of this male for the purposes of
22
         intelligence gathering. Mr Purser telephoned
23
24
         Sergeant Dingemans at 9.48.50 that morning to tell him
         to carry out the stop. And plainly that would not have
25
```

```
1
         happened if the ops room did not believe that at that
 2
         stage Jean Charles had been positively discounted.
 3
             At 9.47.26, Lambeth time, the number 2 bus pulled in
         at the bus stop on the Brixton Road. Jean Charles
 4
 5
         walked past Ivor to get off the bus. Ivor also got off.
         He saw Jean Charles walk north for about 20 metres
 6
 7
         before turning round and running back towards the same
         bus. You may think that he must obviously have realised
 8
         that Brixton station was closed. He appeared to use his
 9
10
         telephone. He then joined the bus queue, and Ivor
11
         transmitted this information, Jean Charles' movements,
12
         over the Cougar radio.
13
             Lawrence, another grey team member, drove past
         Jean Charles at this time. He had a side view of him,
14
15
         and then a full frontal view just for a split second.
16
         Graham and Malcolm also drove past Jean Charles and also
         had brief opportunities to see him.
17
18
             At 9.49.33 Jean Charles got back on the bus. James
19
         contacted Pat in the ops room because he wanted to be
20
         sure that the ops room had heard about Jean Charles'
21
         movements because he thought they were important. He
         thought they looked suspicious, and might be
22
         an anti-surveillance device. He asked for directions
23
24
         from the ops room but said that he never got any.
25
             Mr Cremin was Commander Dick's loggist. He recorded
```

at 9.52, and I quote:

1

25

could assist.

```
"Possible Nettle Tip O/S [outside] Brixton tube (on
 2
 3
         bus) station [obviously referring to Brixton station]
         'very jumpy' (probable ident) no bags visible."
 4
             Purser recalled hearing that Jean Charles was
 5
 6
         nervous or twitchy.
 7
             Mr Cremin's log also records:
             "Establish ID and hold."
 8
             Which reflected an instruction from DAC Dick that
 9
10
         the surveillance was to continue.
11
             James was asking whether SO12 were to stop this man,
12
         but Mr Cremin records that Ms Dick did not want the
         surveillance officers going up to this man. That would
13
         be for CO19. James picked up Lawrence in his car with
14
         a view to getting ahead of the number 2 bus, and then
15
16
         dropping Lawrence off again so that he could get on the
17
         bus. After he had picked up Lawrence, James spoke to
18
         Pat, who wanted to know if the grey team could put
19
         a percentage on how sure they were that the man they
20
         were following was Nettle Tip.
2.1
             This was following a request from Ms Dick. James
         said that he thought it was a ridiculous question, but
22
         he nonetheless asked around his team over the radio.
23
24
         There was no reply, so James said he took it that no-one
```

```
Pat, in the ops room, asked James if he could say
 1
 2
         anything to assist, and James told you that he replied
         either, "For what it's worth, I think it's him", which
 3
 4
         is how he put it in his witness statement, or, "I think
         it could be him".
 5
             Mr Cremin's log records:
 6
 7
             "It is him, the man off [the] bus. They think it is
         him and he is very, very jumpy."
 8
             Mr Cremin and Ms Dick say that this information came
 9
         from Pat, the surveillance monitor. Mr Boutcher,
10
11
         Ms Scott, and Mr Lewindon gave evidence to similar
12
         effect. Pat does not recall saying, "They think it's
         him", but he agrees that he could have done.
13
             You will, however, notice that in all Pat's entries
14
         in the surveillance log, the running log, right down to
15
16
         the very end, when Jean Charles went down into the tube,
         he was always described as "U/I", unidentified.
17
             Pat confirmed that this represented the fact that
18
         the man who was being followed was never clearly
19
20
         positively identified to him as the subject, and if he
2.1
         had been, he would have referred to him in his log
         either by his full subject name or by the abbreviation
22
23
         NT.
24
             You do know that before James gave his reply to
         Pat's inquiry, he had a discussion with Lawrence, who
```

1	was in the car. Lawrence said he didn't think it was
2	Nettle Tip, and he explained that he had had a chance to
3	see the subject when he got off the bus at Brixton and
4	Lawrence had driven past. They looked again at the
5	photograph, the briefing image, and according to James,
6	Lawrence became less certain. James did not tell Pat
7	that another member of his team had expressed doubts.
8	At 9.54, Callum recorded that Mr Esposito made
9	contact with Trojan 84, tac adviser to the black team,
10	and Esposito told him that he needed to get in
11	contention with the bus. At 9.54 Pat's log records:
12	"Believed U/I M [male] is using mobile phone,
13	possibly texting, on bus, Stockwell Road."
14	Boutcher recalls Pat saying that the subject was
15	texting and was nervous.
16	At 9.55, Purser was sitting in the control room at
17	the TA Centre when he says he heard a surveillance
18	officer say over the radio, "It's him, Nettle Tip,
19	believed him", or "Believed Nettle Tip, it's him".
20	DAC Dick telephoned Purser and asked if he was
21	getting this; he said he was, they were rolling, and the
22	officers made their way to their cars. Five cars
23	containing specialist firearms officers then set off to
24	catch up with the bus, with the control car at the rear.
25	At 9.55.27, Purser called Dingemans' telephone and

```
said that the SO13 arrest team should stand down because
 1
 2
         the firearms team was deploying. By this time,
 3
         Sergeant Dingemans had already driven up Clapham Road as
 4
         far as Stockwell station, turned right into the
 5
         Stockwell Road, and made a U-turn behind the bus which
 6
         was coming up the other way.
 7
             Having received Purser's instructions, he stopped
         his attempt to follow the bus closely with a view to
 8
 9
         intercepting Mr de Menezes.
10
             Mr Cremin's log has an entry for 9.56 am:
11
             "Still on bus LJ03 [the index number is actually
12
         recorded as 'MEL', which may be a mistake] No 2 route.
13
         Stockwell Road up on map. Are you moving your firearms
         team?" is a question recorded. Answer: "Yes".
14
             At 9.56.21 the number 2 bus was on the
15
16
         Stockwell Road at the junction with Sidney Road, part of
         the way up the Stockwell Road. At 9.56.48 Trojan 84
17
         made a two minute 31 second call to James' mobile, which
18
19
         was answered by his passenger, Ken. The caller said he
2.0
         was from CO19 and asked for the location of the bus and
21
         the position of the subject within it. James asked over
         the radio for that information.
22
             At 9.59 Harry called Lawrence, who was sitting three
23
24
         rows behind Jean Charles on the top deck. Jean Charles
         got up and went towards the stairs. Lawrence passed
25
```

```
that information to Harry, who relayed it over the
 1
 2
         Cougar radio, and Ken relayed that information to
         Trojan 84. At 9.59 Mr Cremin recorded:
 3
             "Bus is almost at Dorset Road."
 4
             Then obviously a reference to the subject:
 5
             "Top deck heading down the stairs."
 6
 7
             The call between Trojan 84 and Ken ended at 9.59.19.
         James then transmitted over the Cougar radio that CO19
 8
         may be making its way through the follow. You may
 9
         remember the words, "all units alert, CO19 coming
10
11
         through".
12
             By this time, the bus had begun to turn from
         Stockwell Road into South Lambeth Road. Trojan
13
         vehicles, 805A and 805B, were on the Brixton Road in the
14
         vicinity of Brixton Underground station.
15
16
             At 9.59.36 Trojan 84 began a nine minute and 14
17
         second call to Mr Esposito. The control vehicle by then
         was at Brixton Town Hall. Esposito was anxious to know
18
19
         whether the firearms team was in contention, and
20
         Trojan 84 knew at this stage that there were three
2.1
         Trojan vehicles in front of the command vehicle in which
22
         he was but he did not know where the other two Trojan
23
         vehicles were.
             Trojan 805 Delta, D, the car in which Charlie 12 was
24
```

travelling, had taken a different route towards the

```
junction of Stockwell Road and the South Lambeth Road,
 1
 2
         which is where Stockwell station is. When Trojan 805D
 3
         was on the Clapham Road, about 100 metres south of
         Stockwell station, Charlie 12, who was in that car,
 4
 5
         heard that the bus was in the junction with Stockwell
 6
         Underground station at an angle and that the subject was
 7
         acting nervously and preparing to leave the bus.
             I'll tell you at this stage that I am going to ask
 8
         that you should see the film again at a later stage in
 9
10
         the summing-up, just to remind you of what it all looked
11
         like.
12
             At 10.01, Mr Cremin recorded:
             "Guy has been on phone sending text messages.
13
         Dorset Road 3rd address. If good ident [identification]
14
         can't let him down the tube."
15
16
             At about this time, Ms Dick said she wanted the man
         stopped. There appears to have been some discussion
17
18
         about whether or not the man should be allowed to run.
         You heard a lot about Mr Owen's record of that
19
20
         discussion and you may have doubts about his explanation
2.1
         for why he altered his record. However, everybody does
         agree that the decision was made that the man should be
22
23
         stopped before getting on the tube.
24
             Esposito relayed that order to Trojan 84. According
         to Mr Esposito, Trojan 84 initially said that they were
```

```
1
         in position. However, as the surveillance officers
 2
         reported that the man who was being followed was getting
 3
         off the bus, Trojan 84 thought that he might be behind
         the wrong bus, and in any event he told Mr Esposito at
 4
 5
         that point that they were not in contention.
             Superintendent Johnston told you that he heard
 6
 7
         Esposito, presumably speaking to Trojan 84, saying
         something like, "What do you mean you can't do it? Get
 8
         yourself there". He then told Commander Dick that the
 9
         SO19 officers were not able to get to this person in
10
11
         time.
12
             Ken went and waited at the bus stop by the NatWest
         Bank on the corner, you remember, of South Lambeth Road,
13
         and he saw Jean Charles get off the bus. He was -- Ken
14
15
         was about 6 to 10 feet away from him and got a side view
16
         of him. He thought that he was a good possible for
         Nettle Tip, but he didn't transmit that view.
17
             At 10.02 Lambeth time Jean Charles walked past the
18
         NatWest Bank cash machines. Ken followed him to
19
20
         Stockwell station, and saw him go in, and he transmitted
21
         this over the Cougar radio. Ken entered the ticket hall
         at 10.02.56. He saw Ivor there. He saw Jean Charles
22
23
         collect a copy of the Metro newspaper, get a ticket from
24
         his pocket and go towards the barriers. He heard Ivor
         ask over the Cougar radio, "Do you want him lifted?"
25
```

```
1 which was relayed as, "Do you want him detained?".
```

- 2 James told Ivor to wait and telephoned the ops room at
- 3 10.03. James spoke to Pat and asked the ops room if
- 4 SO12 should do the stop and made it clear that the
- 5 decision had to be made very quickly.
- 6 Pat conveyed the request to the ops room.
- 7 Commander Dick took advice and said that SO12 were to do
- 8 the stop. She said that it was her understanding that
- 9 at that point the subject was still above ground and had
- just entered the tube station.
- 11 Pat says that he relayed the instruction after
- 12 a very small delay. Superintendent Johnston also
- 13 remembers hearing Pat relay this instruction. James
- said that he never received any response to his
- 15 question.
- 16 Is that another failure of communication or is it
- 17 a failure of his recollection?
- 18 At 10.02.45, Trojan vehicle -- as I say, you will
- 19 see the film again -- 805 Alpha can be seen on the
- 20 closed-circuit television beginning to pull out near the
- 21 traffic lights on Stockwell Road at the junction with
- 22 Clapham Road.
- 23 At 10.03 Cremin records:
- "19 can see the bus."
- 25 Esposito received information from Trojan that they

```
were there, and he relayed that information to Ms Dick,
 1
 2
         who countermanded her decision about SO12 doing the stop
 3
         and said that CO19 were to do it.
             Dick said that the order was countermanded almost
 4
 5
         immediately, as did Detective Superintendent Cummings.
 6
         Boutcher said that it took place within a matter of
 7
         seconds, certainly not as much as a minute.
         Detective Superintendent Johnston said that within
 8
 9
         seconds of the instruction being given to SO12 to
10
         conduct the stop, Esposito said that CO19 were able to
11
         deal, and that the instruction was given that CO19 were
         to conduct the stop.
12
             DCI Scott said that it took place within a matter of
13
         seconds, if not a minute; and Nick's recollection was
14
         that this took place relatively quickly within, at the
15
16
         most, a minute.
             Once the order was given for CO19 to do the stop,
17
18
         Esposito relayed it to Trojan 84 and said that the
19
         subject must not be allowed to get on the tube. Ms Dick
20
         told you that it was not her understanding that
         Jean Charles was already on the escalator when she was
21
         informed that CO19 were in a position to conduct the
22
23
         stop, and so countermanded her earlier order.
24
             On the other hand, her decision is recorded in her
         decision log in these terms:
```

1	"CO19 to arrest subject if possible in Underground
2	station before he enters tube train."
3	The reason reads as follows:
4	"Am informed CO19 are up with SO12. Subject has
5	gone down escalator. Environment not good for
6	intervention clearly as crowded, no [communications] but
7	still convinced he must be detained and not allowed to
8	travel on the tube for public safety reasons."
9	Assuming that the reason for a decision exists
10	before or at the time of the decision, that rather
11	suggests, you may think, that Jean Charles had gone down
12	the escalator when the decision was made to use CO19.
13	Boutcher's recollection was that after the
14	countermanding order had been given, he heard Pat say
15	that the subject was going down the escalator; and
16	DCI Scott's recollection is to the same effect.
17	Pat could not recall whether he heard that the man,
18	Mr de Menezes, was on the escalator before or after the
19	order was given to use CO19.
20	Nick recalled that the subject was on the escalator
21	when the order was given.
22	Trojan 84 said that when he relayed the order over
23	the radio, in his mind the subject was entering the tube
24	station or thereabouts. As the control car was pulling
25	up in Binfield Road after state red was called,

1	Trojan 84 did not know if the interception would take
2	place inside or outside the tube, or below ground.
3	Mr Purser's recollection was that he heard Ms Dick
4	say that SO12 were to deploy and almost immediately
5	after that he heard "escalator, going down the tube" and
6	he then heard firearms officers say they were in
7	a position to do it. He told Ms Dick that CO19 were
8	saying they could do it, and she almost immediately said
9	"19 to do it".
10	Ralph's recollection he, remember, is the black
11	team leader was that at the time Trojan 84 issued the
12	instruction for CO19 to do it, the subject was already
13	in the station and making his way to the escalator. On
14	the CCTV film, Jean Charles can be seen at the top of
15	the escalator at 10.03.18. Before calling state red,
16	Ralph asked Trojan 84 for confirmation of the order
17	because his understanding was that the man was already
18	in the station and from the surveillance commentary
19	already on the tube.
20	The order was confirmed. Ralph called state red
21	over the radio, which was effectively an order for the
22	firearms officers to perform an armed intervention.
23	I am going to turn in a moment to the events in
24	Stockwell Underground station, so that might be
25	a convenient point for us all to have a break.

```
Can I tell you, you may all be wondering how we are
 1
 2
         getting on. We are not doing too badly. I think I can
 3
         promise you, I certainly shan't finish today but I'm
         reasonably confident that I will be able to ask you to
 4
 5
         retire and consider your verdicts within the first half
         hour of tomorrow morning. So at least you know in the
 6
 7
         short-term what your prospects are. Shall we say half
 8
         past.
     (11.15 am)
 9
10
                           (A short break)
11
     (11.30 am)
12
                    (In the presence of the jury)
     SIR MICHAEL WRIGHT: We are now going to look at the events
13
         in the station. Mr Mansfield says that the evidence
14
         suggests that this is not shown to be a lawful killing,
15
         and instead that Charlie 2 and Charlie 12 had resolved
16
17
         to shoot Mr de Menezes, come what may, once they thought
18
         that he was a suicide bomber from the day before and
19
         without a warning or a proper threat assessment and that
20
         they did not believe that he presented a threat.
2.1
             The evidence from Mr Pereira is that when
         Mr de Menezes had been stopped by police in the past, he
22
         had reacted entirely normally and that armed police were
23
         common in Brazil. You will want to consider, however,
24
         whether this must, nonetheless, have been
25
```

```
an unprecedented situation for Mr de Menezes.
 1
 2
         going to remind you of the evidence that the officers
 3
         gave to you, and you may want to consider why they might
         have shot him if they did not genuinely believe that he
 4
 5
         posed an imminent and lethal threat, even though they
         were obviously in error about that.
 6
 7
             So, when Mr de Menezes entered the Underground
         station, two members of the grey surveillance team, Ivor
 8
         and Ken, were ahead of him already in the concourse.
 9
         After the events I have told you about, Mr de Menezes
10
11
         went down the escalators. Ken was a little further
12
         back, but he heard Ivor's offer to stop Mr de Menezes
         and the instruction to wait. He also saw Mr de Menezes
13
         getting his paper and producing his ticket to get
14
15
         through the barriers. Both he and Ivor followed, saw
16
         Mr de Menezes about halfway down the escalators begin to
         run, and turn left at the first archway entrance towards
17
18
         platform 2.
19
             There was a train waiting at the platform with its
         doors open; and both officers saw Mr de Menezes enter
20
         the doors immediately opposite the cross tunnel, turn
21
         right and walk along the carriage to the seat just
22
         beyond the next set of double doors facing the platform,
23
24
         which he ultimately took as shown on your plan at
```

25

tab 35.

1	Ken went straight forward into the carriage by the
2	same set of double doors as Mr de Menezes had entered
3	by, and he took a seat on the far side of the carriage
4	facing the platform. Ivor, for his part, turned right,
5	walked down the platform, and entered the train through
6	the single door beyond where Mr de Menezes was sitting.
7	He also took a seat on the same side of the carriage as
8	Mr de Menezes somewhere near the end of that row of
9	seats. He saw Geoff, another grey team surveillance
10	officer, enter the carriage by the same door as he had
11	and stand on his left in the standing area.
12	Ken saw Malcolm come on to the platform and step on

Ken saw Malcolm come on to the platform and step on to the train through the same set of double doors that he had used. Somewhat to his surprise, Malcolm, having looked around, then got back off the train and walked back towards the tunnel entrance, apparently not having seen any of his colleagues.

Ken stood up and moved forwards to the doors but
Malcolm walked away out of his view through the cross
tunnel. He then saw Malcolm returning with a group of
four or five men whom he recognised as officers from the
firearms branch carrying weapons. He remembers seeing
a police issue cap but he can't specify who was wearing
it.

25 He also saw both a rifle and a pistol which he

```
1
         recognised as police issue firearms. One of those
 2
         officers mouthed towards him, "Where is he?" and Ken
 3
         indicated with his hand and nodded to his left.
         officers then moved along the platform in that direction
 4
 5
         and it is Ken's recollection that he heard the words
         "armed police" shouted, but he can't say who that was,
 6
 7
         but his assessment is that it came from one of that
         group of armed officers.
 8
             Ivor saw the four men moving along the platform
 9
         towards the next set of open double doors, and realised,
10
11
         having seen a pistol and a long barrelled weapon, that
12
         they were CO19 officers. He also remembers seeing
         a blue police baseball cap but he cannot say whether any
13
14
         of these four men were actually wearing one. He assumed
15
         that they were looking for Mr de Menezes, and so he
16
         stood up, walked over to the open double carriage doors,
         and placed his left foot against the open door to
17
         prevent it from shutting. He was standing with his back
18
19
         against the adjacent glass panel.
20
             He leaned partially out of the carriage, shouted
21
         "he's here", and indicated Mr de Menezes with his right
         hand. At this stage, he could hear shouting generally
22
         from the vicinity of the platform, which included the
23
24
         word "police" and at that point he turned to face
25
         Mr de Menezes.
```

1	His evidence is that when he turned, Mr de Menezes,
2	who at that time was still sitting down, immediately
3	stood up and advanced towards him and the firearms
4	officers. He appeared agitated, looking to right and
5	left, and he noticed that Mr de Menezes' hands were held
6	below his waist and slightly in front of him, far enough
7	apart to accommodate something of the size of a football
8	or a basketball.

He advanced to within about 3 or 4 feet of Ivor and the CO19 officers who by that time were very close to his, Ivor's, left-hand side, and Ivor, assessing that he might be dealing with a terrorist subject and in fear for the safety of the public, the armed officers and himself, grabbed Mr de Menezes by wrapping both of his arms around his torso, pinning his arms to his sides, and pushing him back into the seat where he had previously been sitting.

Ivor had the right-hand side of his chest(sic) pressed against the right-hand side of Mr de Menezes' chest. He explained to you that the reason why he intervened at this stage and in this manner was because that hitherto, based upon the instructions or lack of instructions that he had received in the concourse, he believed that it was safe for the surveillance to continue, although he was uncomfortable and as he said,

- 1 very nervous.
- 2 When he saw the CO19 officers on the platform, the
- 3 only conclusion that he could come to was that the
- 4 intelligence picture had changed and that what he was
- 5 now being presented with was a man possibly identical
- 6 with someone who had been involved in an attack the day
- 7 before, and it was impossible to rule out that he might
- 8 now be carrying arms or explosives.
- 9 The fact that the matter was so urgent that ${\tt CO19}\ {\tt now}$
- 10 had to be deployed made it necessary for him to seek to
- 11 try to protect the public on the carriage. It was in
- 12 his mind that the man with whom he was dealing might be
- 13 carrying a device or a bomb of some kind, and that he
- 14 might be about to detonate it at that point. His
- 15 action, he said, was instinctive and intended to offer
- 16 some restraint to the use of a firearm or a knife or
- 17 possibly an explosive device. The fact that he held his
- 18 hands slightly below his waist made Ivor regard
- 19 Mr de Menezes' waistband as an area of concern.
- 20 He acknowledged in answer to a specific question
- 21 that he was asked in the witness box that he was in fear
- of his own life.
- 23 Ken, standing in the further set of double doors,
- 24 saw Ivor leave his seat, walk past Mr de Menezes to the
- 25 standing area by the double door, and swing out his

```
1
         right hand towards Mr de Menezes shouting "he's here".
 2
         As the armed officers moved towards the doors, Ken
 3
         describes Mr de Menezes as moving to his feet forward,
         out of his seat, towards the middle of the carriage area
 4
 5
         and towards his right, so that he covered about half the
 6
         distance between his seat and the doors.
 7
             The officers at that point were entering the train.
 8
         Ken thought that Mr de Menezes was holding his hands in
 9
         front of his body in what seems an unnatural posture to
10
         him, and at that point Ivor came forward and into
11
         contact with Mr de Menezes, wrapping his arms around
         him, in effect pinning his arms to his sides. Both
12
13
         moved backwards towards the seating area and a grappling
14
         struggle ensued, with Ivor trying to maintain his hold
15
         upon Mr de Menezes as they went back towards the row of
16
         seats.
             Geoff, from his position standing at the far
17
18
         right-hand end of the carriage as you look at it on the
19
         plan, saw Ivor go to the door and gesture back with his
20
         right arm towards the place where Mr de Menezes was
21
         sitting. He heard noise from the direction of the
         double doors, including, he remembers, the word
22
23
         "police". He became aware of a passenger, whom he now
24
         realises was Mr de Menezes, getting up from his seat in
         a rapid movement, rising from his seat quite sharply and
25
```

```
moving towards the double doors. He saw Ivor grab
 1
 2
         Mr de Menezes in a bear hug, which he describes almost
 3
         like an upright rugby tackle, so as to get right round
         Mr de Menezes' arms. At the same moment he was aware of
 4
         two people very closely followed by a third, one of whom
 5
         was carrying a machine gun and wearing a chequered
 6
 7
         police cap and he realised that these must be CO19
         officers.
 8
             The witnesses I have reminded you about so far in
 9
         this part of the narrative are all, you will remember,
10
11
         surveillance officers from the grey team. I am now
12
         going to go back a little and I'm going to remind you of
         the evidence given by the specialist firearms officers
13
         who were most closely involved in the shooting of
14
         Mr de Menezes.
15
16
             I am going to begin with Charlie 12, because you
         may, on the evidence, think he was probably -- as he
17
         accepts, he was probably the officer who fired first.
18
19
         I am, I tell you now, going to go into his and
2.0
         Charlie 2's evidence in considerable detail.
2.1
             Charlie 12 joined the police in 1983. In 1989 he
         passed his divisional shooting course for general
22
         firearms duties and he applied to join CO19 in 1996.
23
24
         This is a voluntary process. No-one is accepted until
         he has been initially approved by his divisional
2.5
```

```
1
         inspector. He then has to undertake a four-day
 2
         assessment process when his mental strengths, his
 3
         capacity for team building and teamwork, physical
         fitness and general shooting skills are assessed.
 4
 5
             If he fails that test, he goes no further. After
         that, he embarks on a series of courses, learning skills
 6
 7
         such as abseiling and rope work, to teach him how to
         enter buildings in an unconventional manner; a shotgun
 8
         course to learn skills in the use of firearms and
 9
10
         ammunition of that kind; and then, if these courses have
11
         been passed successfully, he goes on to the full
12
         seven-week SFO course. Each course is a barricade.
         Failure on any one of them means that the candidate will
13
         progress no further. In 1998 he passed all these
14
15
         examinations and he has been a specialist firearms
16
         officer ever since.
17
             However, his training has continued, because all
         SFOs work on a routine when one week in six is devoted
18
19
         to training. He's repeatedly been given instruction and
20
         training in the circumstances in which he, as a firearms
2.1
         officer, would be entitled to use force to fire his
         weapon. Also, prior to 22 July, and in the light of the
22
         9/11 experience in New York, he had received specific
23
24
         training and instruction concerned with suicide bombers.
25
             In 2002 for a period of two and a half years
```

```
Charlie 12 served as an instructor, full-time
 1
 2
         instructing other CO19 officers. He himself had
 3
         received training in operations Kratos and Clydesdale.
         He had been involved in other firearms incidents. But
         as at July 2005 he had never fired his gun at a suspect.
 5
             On 21 July he finished his day's work at 9 o'clock
 6
 7
         in the evening and went home, due to come back the
         following morning at 7 am for his regular shift. He was
 8
         teamed with Vic as a driver and William as the observer
 9
         in a car of which he would be the Commander. When he
10
11
         arrived at Leman Street the general talk was that there
12
         was likely to be involvement in a counter-terrorism
         operation and soon after arrival he and fellow members
13
         of his team drew their guns and ammunition.
14
             Although each officer takes a substantial amount of
15
16
         equipment, the relevant items in the present case were
17
         a Glock 9mm pistol carried in a holster, and 17 rounds
         of 124-grain hollow point bullets. He understood that
18
19
         this ammunition was generally thought to be more
20
         suitable for dealing with suicide bombers and he loaded
2.1
         his sidearm with that ammunition. He also had
         a silenced carbine, a shotgun, and some stun grenades.
22
             At 7.45 that morning, he received the briefing from
23
24
         the team's tactical firearms adviser, Trojan 84. He was
         given an outline to tell him what the team were looking
```

for. He heard about Osman, his age, and the address at 1 2 21 Scotia Road where he was thought to be living. 3 On the tactical side, he was told that the Silver Commander for this operation would be provided by 4 5 SO13, the anti-terrorist squad, but SO12 Special Branch 6 officers would be carrying out the surveillance of the 7 addresses and, if possible, the suspects; that mr Esposito, whom they all knew, was serving as the 8 firearms tactical adviser, Trojan 80, for the control 9 10 room at New Scotland Yard. 11 He was told that there was to be a designated senior officer for this operation, although he can't remember 12 whether the individual officer was identified at that 13 14 stage. He had never deployed with a DSO before and he 15 could only speculate as to what task they were intended

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He appreciated that a DSO could authorise a critical shot, which meant that the individual firearms officers were entitled, once the authorisation had been given, to deliver such a shot on the basis of their own assessment of the threat that they were facing, and without further reference back to a senior officer.

for, although he realised that the appointment of a DSO

meant that they might have to deal with suicide killers.

He was told that the team might be using unusual tactics that they had not used before, and this meant to

```
him that they might well have to face suicide bombers.
 1
 2
         He was also told that he would have to trust the
 3
         information that the team received from the operations
         room. This instruction was in accordance with his
 4
 5
         previous training, and meant that the firearms officers
         would have to trust the DSO to have made decisions on
 6
 7
         the basis of intelligence received to which they
         themselves might well not be party. The circumstances
 8
         might well be that there would not be time to question
 9
10
         a particular order.
11
             He added that in his view, this requirement to trust
12
         the intelligence that they received applied to material
         coming from the surveillance teams also, and not merely
13
         the control room at Scotland Yard. If a surveillance
14
         team identified a subject, then the firearms teams would
15
16
         act upon that identification. They would have to trust
17
         them and their professionalism. He was not told at what
18
         point in the operation he might expect the DSO to take
19
         charge.
             The team then moved off from Leman Street to
2.0
21
         Nightingale Lane. At that stage, he was not aware of
22
         any particular urgency in the deployment, and at
         Nightingale Lane he received a further briefing from
23
24
         Mr Purser, the Silver Commander appointed for this
25
         operation.
```

1	In this briefing he was told that they would be
2	concerned with Osman, the failed Shepherd's Bush suicide
3	bomber, and Omar, the Warren Street bomber. They were
4	told about the correspondence found at Shepherd's Bush
5	which appeared to link Osman and Omar, and provided
6	an address for both of them at 21 Scotia Road.
7	They were told about Operation Ragstone and the
8	apparent terrorist training in Cumbria with relation to
9	Omar and also about the Nissan Primera car linked to
10	Omar which by this time had been spotted but in
11	Scotia Road.
12	They were shown the photographs of Osman and Omar
13	which they were told were good likenesses, and given
14	technical information about the nature of the explosives
15	used in the bombs on the previous day, together with the
16	methods of ignition and the levels of damage and
17	destruction that might be expected from such devices.
18	He appreciated, as he said, that he was being told
19	about matters which involved an unbelievable threat to
20	life.
21	They were told about the munitions that had been
22	found at Luton, that such devices could be easily
23	concealed about the body, and in response to a specific

question from one of the team, that the failed bombers

that had disappeared might well still have ready access

24

to explosives and that such explosives could be

```
2
         detonated easily. Mr Purser used expressions such as
         "well prepared", "up for it", "deadly and determined"
 3
         when describing the members of this terrorist group.
 4
 5
         Charlie 12 was left in no doubt, as he described in his
         statement of the following day, as to the type of
 6
 7
         suspects that they were preparing to intercept, that
         they were prepared to take their own and other lives and
 8
         that the danger faced would be immeasurable.
 9
             Trojan 84 then added to the briefing, to detail the
10
11
         strategy, that any subject coming from the premises
12
         would not be allowed to run, but that they would be
         intercepted as soon as possible away from the address so
13
         as not to compromise the operation. An observation
14
15
         point had been set up, and had a view of the address,
16
         which had a communal front door, and several people had
17
         already left the address and been discounted as not
18
         being suspects.
19
             He recalled thinking at the time of the briefing
20
         that his team were going to have to come up against
21
         people with a totally unknown threat, the highest
         possible threat that certainly he had ever imagined
22
         coming up against. The whole experience was
23
24
         an extremely emotional one for him, listening to the
25
         briefing and listening to the nature of the threat, and
```

the danger that these people posed, and realising that

```
2
         he possibly was not going home again at the end of the
 3
         day.
             At the end of the briefing, the team moved off to
 4
 5
         the TA Centre in Upper Tulse Hill. He was still not
         aware of any particular urgency but he did comment that
 6
 7
         the communications were, to use his word, "awful".
         Because they were working in conjunction with the
 8
         surveillance teams, they were relying on the main Cougar
 9
         radio channel, and it was so bad that he thought that
10
11
         there was interference from another channel. Sometimes
12
         the signals were intermittent; at others weak and faint
         and so fuzzy that the message couldn't be understood.
13
             His was the last car in the convoy and as he was on
14
         his way up to the TA Centre he did make out a signal
15
16
         that a subject from 21 Scotia Road was on a bus heading
17
         for Brixton. He phoned the team leader, Ralph, and had
         that information confirmed. So he changed course to
18
         head towards Stockwell.
19
             Now, this was now a follow, and it was a matter of
2.0
2.1
         urgency. Because he thought Ralph would be preoccupied,
         he rang the second in command of the team, who was
22
23
         Charlie 2, and learnt that the subject was on a number 2
24
         bus. His car drove down Clapham Common South Side to
         Clapham Road using the blue lights and the two tone
2.5
```

```
sirens and in the course of the journey he heard
 1
 2
         a warning over the radio that SO19 units would be moving
 3
         through, and that's a warning which we know was
 4
         delivered by James.
 5
             He assumed from this that his team had moved up to
         state amber. In that he later discovered he was wrong.
 6
 7
         State amber indicates that the arrest or intervention
 8
         phase was now going to take place. It also indicated to
         him that there had been a positive identification of the
 9
10
         subject and an active follow.
11
             As they approached Stockwell tube, he asked for the
12
         blues and twos to be turned off so as not to advertise
         their approach. He could see a number 2 bus in the
13
         junction, but he wasn't sure that it was the actual one.
14
15
         As they got nearer to Stockwell, communications were
16
         improving and he heard from the surveillance officers
         over the Cougar radio that the suspect was preparing to
17
18
         leave the bus and appeared to be acting nervously. He
19
         later heard that he was off the bus and by the NatWest
20
         Bank.
21
             By this time, his car was about 100 metres short of
         Stockwell tube and he instructed his driver, Vic, to
22
23
         drive slowly so that they were in a position to react
24
         quickly if state red should be called. He heard that
```

the suspect was walking towards the tube station and

```
entered it. He didn't hear anything to the effect that
 1
 2
         S019(sic) were to do the stop or that later they were
 3
         not to do the stop. There was still a frustrating radio
         silence.
 4
 5
             He did, however, hear the words "towards platforms 1
 6
         and 2" which he assumed referred to the suspect, and he
 7
         couldn't understand why at this stage state red had not
         been given. Given that his team had been called
 8
         through, his understanding was that the suspect was now
 9
         a positively identified failed suicide bomber. Had he
10
11
         heard an instruction given to SO12 to do the stop, this
         would have altered his perception of what was going on,
12
         because his briefing led him to suppose that his own
13
         team would only be brought in and given the arrest phase
14
15
         on identified suspects.
16
             He then heard Trojan 84 saying something to the
         effect, "They said that he was to be stopped getting on
17
18
         the tube, he must not be allowed to get on the tube".
         The use of the expression "they said" led him to think,
19
20
         correctly as we know, that this was an instruction that
2.1
         had come down from the control room at New Scotland
         Yard, and therefore was from the DSO. Almost
22
23
         immediately, Ralph declared state red on the
24
         back-to-back radio network which the firearms team use.
25
             He was immediately out of the car and sprinting for
```

the station. He took with him his pistol and magazine
in its holster and he also had his radio set on the
Cougar system which was designed to be carried on his
own body. He was conscious of frustration and growing
unease because he feared that the suspect had already
entered the tube system and that he didn't know where he
was.

2.5

When he got to the entrance of the station, he made eye contact with Charlie 2, and that was a reassurance to him because he realised that there must be another firearms car already there. He jumped over a barrier, pushing a member of the station staff out of the way, and he drew his pistol. He could hear voices behind him shouting "armed police" and as he went down the escalator he saw a man at the bottom, almost certainly Malcolm, who said, "He's on the northbound tube".

His immediate reaction was that they had lost him, but he ran through the cross tunnel and saw that the train was still there. There was another man standing in the open double doorway immediately across from the tunnel, who indicated to his left -- that's to Charlie 12's right -- and so he went briskly along the platform searching in the carriage to try to pick up where the suspect was. He was holding his gun down beside his leg in an attempt to maintain his cover. He

```
1
         saw another man in the next doorway, and that we know
 2
         was Ivor, with his foot in the doorway, seeking eye
 3
         contact and he assumed that it was a surveillance
 4
         officer.
 5
             He still, at this stage, had no preconceived idea of
 6
         what he was going to do or what he was going to be faced
 7
         with, but the man in the door made a clear pointing
         gesture and said "that's him". As far as Charlie 12 was
 8
         concerned, any identification issue had now been
 9
         determined. The man indicated by the gesture had been
10
11
         positively identified as a suicide bomber and the
12
         gesture by the surveillance officer reinforced that
         belief.
13
             The man, who was Mr de Menezes, stood up.
14
         Charlie 12 thought that the blue denim jacket that he
15
16
         was wearing appeared bulky. In fact, we know that the
17
         jacket was an ordinary denim jacket, and you have seen
18
         it.
             However, I understand that Mr Mansfield does not
19
20
         press a suggestion that the officers' description of the
21
         jacket as bulky was anything other than a mistaken
22
         perception at the time.
             Charlie 12 then describes a flowing movement as
23
24
         Mr de Menezes came towards himself and Charlie 2, and he
25
         used the expression "closing us down", namely reducing
```

the distance between him and them. Mr de Menezes was

```
2
         holding his hands down at waist level or hip level, and
 3
         Charlie 12 couldn't understand how or why he was coming
         towards what would be to him a potential threat area.
 4
 5
             I now go back to quote his own words:
             "I shouted 'armed police' and brought my gun up
 6
 7
         facing his head -- hoping he might see it but he
         continued forward. I formed the view he's going to
 8
         detonate. He's going to kill us. I must shoot him
 9
         before he kills -- I have no choice.
10
11
             "The surveillance officer grabbed him and pushed him
12
         backwards onto the seat. This reconfirmed to me that
         I must use force as soon as possible. To me this was
13
         an identified suicide bomber and therefore potentially
14
         had equipment with him to cause mass death. I thought
15
16
         he was getting closer to give me the full benefit of the
17
         blast, and I had to use force as possibly otherwise we
         would all be killed. I had no alternative as
18
19
         I genuinely believed that I had a duty to protect the
20
         public."
2.1
             He then observed that the question of an authority
         from a DSO to take a critical shot did not come into his
22
         calculations at all.
23
24
             When Ivor pushed Mr de Menezes back, C12 was
         virtually on top of Ivor, slightly behind him, and he
```

```
had to get his gun past Ivor's head -- he actually
 1
 2
         contacted it in so doing. I go back to Charlie 12's own
 3
         words:
             "I put my gun close to Mr de Menezes' head because
 4
 5
         I could not afford to miss. I fired a number of shots.
         I was aware after a time of other shots being fired.
 6
         I intended to kill him, this was a threat of the highest
 7
         order, and if I had felt differently I would have done
 8
         anything other than take the life of another person."
 9
             He could see Charlie 2 to his left, but in his own
10
11
         mind he is clear that he fired first. He fired several
         shots to make sure that the man was dead. He had been
12
         trained that a single shot to the base of the skull
13
         would cause total incapacity, but the awkwardness of his
14
15
         position reaching over Ivor was such that he couldn't be
16
         sure of achieving that, and he added this, and again
         I quote his own words:
17
             "If he had stayed in his seat I would not have fired
18
         if I didn't perceive a threat. If he had stopped coming
19
20
         forward so that I didn't perceive a threat, I would not
2.1
         have fired."
             Then, to go back to his narrative, he said he then
22
23
         began shouting to people to get out of the carriage. He
24
         feared that there might still be an explosion. He then
25
         moved off the train into the cross tunnel where he found
```

Charlie 2.

1

25

```
I think I should remind you at this stage, although
 2
 3
         I don't doubt that you will remember that it was at this
         point in his evidence that this tough, fit, highly
 4
 5
         trained, mature man broke down in tears, and this fact
         may assist you in assessing the depth of the emotional
 6
 7
         experience that he was going through here when he was
         re-living the terrible events of 22 July.
 8
             He learnt at about midday on the following day, on
 9
         the 23rd, that the man that had been shot was not Osman.
10
11
         He was asked by Mr Hilliard how he felt when he learned
12
         that fact and you may find his answer instructive. He
         said:
13
             "A sense of disbelief and of shock, sadness,
14
         confusion. Everything I have ever trained for, for
15
16
         threat assessment, seeing threats, perceiving threats
         and acting on threats proved wrong, and I am responsible
17
         for the death of an innocent man. That's something
18
         I have to live with for the rest of my life."
19
2.0
             In answer to Mr Mansfield, he said that he did not
21
         hear any member of the firearms team say they were not
         there and couldn't do it. As far as he was concerned he
22
         was there and could do it. He had not heard anyone
23
24
         instruct SO12 to do the stop. He didn't know that
```

surveillance officers had already gone into the tube

1	when he had arrived. When he heard from Ralph that the
2	subject was on a bus heading towards Stockwell, he
3	joined the follow on the basis that this was
4	an identified person or persons from the events of the
5	previous day, and as you will remember Mr Mansfield
6	accepted that this was indeed his genuine belief.
7	When he heard the warning "CO19 units are moving
8	through" at a time when he in his car was just clear of
9	Clapham High Street, he took this to be a declaration of
10	state amber, which involved a positive identification
11	and an active following. He was quite clear that he had
12	heard from the surveillance network that Mr de Menezes
13	was being described as nervous and twitchy and this was
14	not a situation in which he was panicked into anything
15	or lost control in any way.
16	So far as the difficulty of identification was
17	concerned, he believed, having heard the transmission
18	about platform 1 and 2, he was entitled to assume
19	rightly as it turned out there was at least one
20	surveillance officer ahead of him in the Underground who
21	could point out the suspect to him.
22	His previous training on suicide risks had been
23	largely focused upon the technicalities of achieving
24	a critical shot coupled with a PowerPoint presentation
25	about vehicle-borne tactics. He was well aware of the

```
devastating effects of a suicide bomb. He had had no
 1
 2
         specific training in relation to the assessment of
 3
         a perceived threat; indeed, he didn't accept that there
         could be any such training, because it always eventually
 4
         boils down to the individual officer's assessment. As
 5
         he said, it was an impossible question. Who looks like
 6
 7
         a suicide bomber? What is it that you have to be
         trained to look for?
 8
             He categorically denied the central suggestion put
 9
10
         to him by Mr Mansfield to the effect that the
11
         intelligence that he had received had fixed the subject
12
         in his mind as a potential mass killer from the day
         before and that effectively his attitude was that he was
13
         simply going to get down the escalators and kill him.
14
15
         His answer was:
16
             "All I had going through my mind was, I have got to
         get to him, I have got to get to him, I have got to get
17
         to him before he goes, and I distinctly remember that.
18
19
         I did not have any preconceived idea of what I was going
20
         to do, I did not even know where he was at that stage,
21
         let alone the delivery of a critical shot. I just
         didn't have that in my mind, I just wanted to know where
22
         he was and try to find him and through the
23
24
         professionalism of the surveillance officers who were
25
         down at the tube they actually led me on to him
```

otherwise I would never have found him..."

He didn't interpret "stop before he gets on the tube" as being an authorisation to take a critical shot.

"We had been given the order to stop and detain the identified person. I did not have the authorisation to take a critical shot without warning. This came down to his own individual assessment, taking everything into consideration. What Mr de Menezes did both before and after his challenge led me to the conclusion that he was about to detonate a bomb."

In that, of course, he accepts that he was mistaken.

There were a number of factors working on his mind on this particular day: the fact that the special ammunition had been issued to them led him to think that there might be a need to use it at close quarters with a suicide bomber; the fact that they had been informed that a DSO was to be appointed led him to believe that structures were in place to make an appropriate decision to authorise a critical shot should it need to be taken; the briefing that they might have to use unusual tactics led him to suppose that a critical shot was something that was in contemplation by the senior officers; the exhortation to trust the information coming through from the control room led him to think that there might well be intelligence that the senior officers were aware of

1	that he was not.
2	He had been told that the suspected suicide bombers
3	were "deadly and determined and up for it", and while he
4	was aware that the CO19 team would only be involved if
5	there was a positive identification, in his view there
6	was such an identification in the form of an officer,
7	Ivor, saying "that's him".
8	While he was doing what he could to remain covert
9	because he didn't want the suspect to realise that he
10	was about to be arrested and thus detonate his bomb, the
11	possibility that this might happen could not be ignored.
12	Mr de Menezes' act in getting up was not in itself
13	aggressive at that stage and did not cause Charlie 12 to
14	go immediately to a critical shot. It was his

aggressive at that stage and did not cause Charlie 12 to go immediately to a critical shot. It was his continuing to come forward and close him down after a challenge, combined with Ivor's intervention and all the other intelligence that he had received, brought him to the point where he could not afford to pause because he was expecting a detonation.

He himself, he said, was not out of control. He did
issue a challenge. He said:

"I am human, and I did feel fear. If there had been any alternative I would have taken it."

To Mr Stern he said that the belief that he had acquired during the follow that this man had been

```
positively identified as a suicide bomber increased his
 1
 2
         assessment of the level of risk. So also did the rest
 3
         of the briefing that he had been given.
             Now, Charlie 2. I am going to remind you again of
 4
 5
         the evidence of Charlie 2, the other firearms officer
 6
         who actually fired his weapon at Mr de Menezes.
 7
         going to summarise him rather more briefly, because his
         evidence, as Mr Mansfield pointed out to you, very
 8
         largely mirrors that of Charlie 12.
 9
             He has been a police officer since 1985,
10
11
         an authorised firearms officer since 1988, and
12
         a specialist firearms officer since 1991. He has been
         a national firearms instructor since May 1996. He has
13
         been through a similar regime of instruction to that
14
         followed by Charlie 12 and he has also had specific
15
16
         instruction relating to suicide bombers consisting of
         classroom lessons, PowerPoint presentations and
17
         exercises in specific tactics.
18
19
             He also reported at Leman Street early on 22 July
20
         for a 7 o'clock parade, and he was posted to the Alpha
21
         car, the lead car, which was a Mercedes, with Charlie 5
         and Charlie 6. He drew similar weapons and ammunition
22
23
         and he also appreciated the significance of the issue of
24
         124-grain hollow point ammunition, and that it had been
```

specifically authorised for this operation.

At 7.45 he participated in the briefing by 1 2 Trojan 84. He was told about Osman and Omar, the 3 addresses at Scotia Road and Portnall Road, and the 4 finding of the Nissan car. He was told about the 5 appointment of the Silver Commander, DCI Purser from SO13, who was going to give a further briefing at 6 7 Nightingale Lane. At that briefing Mr Purser gave the history of 8 recent bombings and the attempted bombings and the 9 explosives that were being used, and described those 10 11 responsible as being deadly and determined and up for 12 it. Trojan 84 re-stated the strategy, as we have heard it, about intercepting anybody from the premises away 13 from the house to avoid compromise; somebody, either 14 Trojan 84 or Silver, said that the bus route outside the 15 16 premises had been suspended. There was confirmation 17 that the devices that might be used could be easily concealed about the body and that both terrorists were 18 19 thought to be within the premises at Scotia Road. 20 Finally, he records that he also received the standard 2.1 instructions on the relevant law and guidance on the use 22 of force. They then went on towards the TA Centre in 23 24 Upper Tulse Hill and as Charlie 2 was in the lead car,

he got there first. Over the next few minutes, several

other cars arrived, except only the Delta car with

Charlie 12 in it which you will remember peeled off

early and went by a different route when the follow was

started.

2.5

At this stage, in the light of the briefings that he had received, he believed that it was very likely that he would be asked to intercept deadly and determined terrorist suicide bombers, who were willing to take their own lives, his life and those of members of the public. He had never had to face this kind of threat before and he was conscious that if it all went wrong the consequences would be huge and that he also might not go home that day.

Soon after they had arrived at the TA Centre, a message came over the radio that surveillance officers were following someone who might be Nettle Tip away from the premises, a man described as a light skinned North African male, stocky, 5-foot 10, with stubble, wearing a blue denim jacket and blue jeans. He heard that this person had now boarded a number 2 bus, and Trojan 84 then gave instructions that they should get behind that bus. He took this to mean that they were to follow the surveillance team and that at that stage they were still at state green. Charlie 12, in the Delta car, who had still not reached the TA Centre, called him and, as he

1 told us, Charlie 2 updated him on what was happening. 2 They, that's he and his car, caught up with the bus 3 in the Stockwell Road, just north of the junction with Clapham Road in heavy traffic. The bus was stationary 4 5 in the South Lambeth Road outside the NatWest Bank while the Mercedes was, as you will remember seeing it on the 6 7 video, still short of the Clapham Road junction. Charlie 2 was hearing over the radio that there were 8 surveillance officers on the bus and heard one say, 9 "This is definitely our man", and also that he was 10 11 nervous and acting strangely, standing on the stairs of the bus and very twitchy. He next heard that the 12 suspect was off the bus, had walked towards the tube 13 station and gone in by the main entrance. He didn't 14 hear any offer by SO12 to do the stop; he never heard 15 16 state red called. He was anticipating state amber, and so he directed his driver to take them into 17 18 Binfield Road, from where he was expecting to be 19 deployed. 20 He then heard from Trojan 84, "Stop him getting on the tube -- he must not get on the tube". The voice was 21 22 definite and with a sense of urgency, and the situation 23 was urgent because Mr de Menezes was nearly into the tube system, and Charlie 2 again regarded this as 2.4 a relayed instruction from the DSO and understood from 25

that that this was a suicide bomber who had been

positively identified and was entering the tube system

to blow up a train.

Although he didn't know, he thought it a distinct

2.0

Although he didn't know, he thought it a distinct possibility that this man, Hussain Osman, who had previously attempted to commit mass murder, would have a bomb with him, and that if he was not stopped it was very likely that he would blow up a train.

He took the instruction from Trojan 84 as an order to intercept the suspect, and he ran to the entrance of the station where he saw Charlie 12. He vaulted over the barriers, where he was challenged by railway staff and he shouted "armed police, get back". At that stage he had no preconceived idea of what he should do, and he was clear that he had not been given authority to take a critical shot.

At the bottom of the escalator, he saw a man, probably Malcolm, who said to him "on the northbound tube". He went through the cross tunnel archway following Charlie 12 and saw a man standing by the open doorway who pointed to his right, to the next set of doors. Charlie 2 had drawn his gun by this time and at the next door he saw a man, whom we know to be Ivor, holding the door open with his foot and he presumed this to be a surveillance officer. That officer turned and

```
1
         pointed at an Asian looking man with a bulky looking
 2
         jacket. He said -- he, Charlie 2 -- nothing to identify
 3
         himself at that stage because he believed that shouting
         too early would alert the suspect and might cause him to
 4
 5
         initiate any device.
             There was other shouting going on, but he cannot say
 6
 7
         who or what or when. As Mr de Menezes was sitting down,
         in the fraction of a second that he had to look at it,
 8
 9
         his jacket appeared to be bulky in its lower part at the
10
         front and the side. That man, Mr de Menezes, looked at
11
         him, stood up very quickly, and advanced towards the
         three officers, that's Charlie 2, Charlie 12 and Ivor,
12
         with his hands down by his sides and slightly in front
13
         of him. He couldn't see the palms of the hands.
14
15
         Charlie 2 did not say anything, nor did he hear anybody
16
         else say anything, but he brought his gun up and as he
         did so, Ivor turned, grabbed the man and pushed him back
17
18
         towards his seat. He intended, Charlie 2 I am speaking
19
         of, to challenge with the words "armed police", but he
20
         didn't have the opportunity. Ivor stepped in before the
         man got to him.
21
             At this point, he was convinced that this man was
22
23
         a suicide bomber, he was about to detonate a bomb and
         blow them all up. He honestly believed that unless he
2.4
         acted immediately, he and other persons present were
25
```

about to die. It was his opinion that his only option
was to shoot this man in the head and kill him instantly
to prevent any detonation.

2.5

He went over, reached over the top of Ivor, and pushed the man down. He shouted "armed police", held his gun to the man's head and fired. He was asked why he shouted at that late stage, and he answered that it was mainly out of habit because of his normal training, and also because he wished to be sure that any members of the public who saw what was happening would realise that they were police officers.

It was, however, his intention to shoot when he shouted. He held his gun close to the head of Mr de Menezes because he didn't want to shoot the surveillance officer, and also because he could not afford to miss. He doesn't know how many shots he fired but he was aware that his gun had a stoppage which meant that he had to clear it manually by pulling the slide back to clear the blocked round and to push it forward to feed another live round. The reason why he fired so many shots was that at the time he believed that he and everyone else in the vicinity was about to die. From the position in which he was, he could not place his gun close to the area of the brain stem, and so he fired several times to be certain that the subject was in no

1 position to detonate anything.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

2 He then left the train and went into the cross 3 tunnel where he was joined by Charlie 12 and each checked each other to make sure that they were all 4 5 right. They were joined by Ralph, the black team leader, and he thinks Trojan 84, but he cannot recall 6 7 what he may have said to either of those officers as at that time he was in shock. They were both taken back to 8 Leman Street police station where they went through 9 post-incident procedures. Their clothes and weapons 10 11 were taken, they were seen by a doctor, allowed to have 12 a shower, and get cleaned up.

His initial feelings were of relief that he had prevented the public, his colleagues and himself from getting killed by a man whom he genuinely believed to be a suicide bomber. When he discovered on the following day that this was not the case, and that the man he had shot was entirely innocent, he was deeply shocked. He said this:

"This was against everything I had ever trained for.

As a firearms instructor and as an SFO, I knew that it

was an individual officer's decision to fire a weapon

and it was my responsibility and I killed an innocent

man and I think about that every day."

25 He told you that although he had written his

1	statement on the Saturday afternoon with Charlie 12 and
2	other firearms officers present, and they did confer
3	over such matters as timings and locations, these were
4	nonetheless their own individual accounts. He admitted
5	to Mr Mansfield that he had indeed followed the evidence
6	that Charlie 12 had given on the previous day to the day
7	that he gave evidence, but this had not influenced the
8	evidence that he himself had given, even though some of
9	the phrases that he used are very similar.
10	He never heard state amber. Indeed, it was never
11	called. For him the instruction to stop Nettle Tip
12	getting on the tube was for practical purposes the same
13	as "state red".
14	He had been on a number of exercises where there had
15	been a DSO in the control room, but this was the first
16	actual operation on which he had been engaged where
17	a DSO had been appointed. His understanding of the role
18	of the DSO was that he or she would dictate the tactics
19	in relation to dealing with identified suicide bombers
20	and he believed that he and his team would only be
21	deployed in an operation on someone who had been so
22	positively identified.

Accordingly, he heard the orders from Trojan 84, which he believed -- as we know correctly -- to be a relay from the DSO. Then this convinced him that the

man who was being followed had now been positively 1 2 identified as a man who had attempted to commit mass 3 murder the day before. Even at that stage, however, he did not think that 4 5 this man actually had to be shot. No-one had ordered him to do anything beyond carrying out an interception. 6 7 He understood that the instruction authorising a critical shot may allow an officer to approach in 8 a covert manner and deliver such a shot without warning. 9 Otherwise the decision may rest with an individual 10 11 officer if he feels that such action is justified. It 12 all depends on the circumstances. It all depends on the information and intelligence that you have, and what you 13 believe to be true. It was always a very difficult 14 15 judgment, but he had to do it. 16 He categorically denies what was put to him by 17 Mr Mansfield, namely that he only had one object in mind when he went down the escalator: that was to kill the 18 19 man that they were following. It was not his objective 2.0 to deliver a covert shot without warning and the reason 21 why he did not order Mr de Menezes to stand still or shout "hands up" was that everything happened so fast 22 that he simply did not have time. It was not enough to 23 24 make up his mind for him that this man had been pointed 25 out by Ivor. There were all the other circumstances:

the belief in the positive identification that this was
a man who had attempted to blow up a train on the
previous day and might well do so again; the appearance
of what he thought was a bulky jacket; and
Mr de Menezes' reaction when he saw officers approaching
were all facets of his state of mind.

2.0

2.1

2.5

While he accepts that he was wrong in his assessment of the situation, nevertheless he insists that this is what he genuinely believed at the time. He did think that Mr de Menezes was closing them down, although that phrase does not appear in his statement. When Ivor grabbed the man so as to immobilise him, he could not see to what extent Mr de Menezes' hands may have been immobilised so that he could not see what he might be able to do with them, and even after his initial shots when Mr de Menezes was falling away from him, he was still not sure that he was incapacitated, that he was dead, and so he continued to fire. It was not the case that he was simply intent upon dispatching him, whatever the circumstances.

He was asked what went wrong, and he suggested that the misidentification that had originally taken place had put him into an impossible situation in which he made the wrong decision. He did not fail to make an objective and independent assessment, even though it

turned out to be wrong. He still regards Mr de Menezes'
death as his responsibility.

He repeated to Mr Stern that in very real terms he believed that he, his fellow police officers and other members of the public present were about to die. He was frightened and nervous, but he made his decision honestly, believing that this man was a threat to the life of himself and everyone else in the carriage, and if he had not had that perception or if he had had any doubt whatsoever, then he would not have fired.

He realised that when he heard the words "definitely our man", that he and his team were likely to be called upon to make an intervention, but at that stage, on the face of it, the words were merely indicating an identification of the man on the bus as being one of the suspects.

That concludes Charlie 2's evidence, as I summarise it to you. I turn now to Charlie 5. He was the driver of the Mercedes, the lead firearms car, with Charlie 2 as his front seat passenger. He followed his two colleagues in the car into the station, and as he was going down the escalator, he wanted to warn people who he thought were going down into danger to get back, so he was shouting, "Leave the tube, get out of the tube". He is not sure if he used the words "armed police" but

he was seeking to make people leave, and some appeared
to do so.

He was one of the group of three or four SFOs who were first on to the platform and who were seen by Ken. He actually turned left out of the tunnel and went to the single door at the left-hand end of the carriage, as you saw it on the plan. He did so because he had a fear that if he did not get onto the train it might pull out and leave him on the platform and that something terrible would happen, namely an explosion in the tunnel further up.

While he was looking around to see where his colleagues were, someone on the train touched his arm and directed him down the carriage. He saw the man whom he now knows to be Ivor, and momentarily he wondered whether he was in fact the subject. He pointed out that his recollection of the subsequent events are very condensed. He describes them as snapshots. He then saw Ivor lurch towards another male. He was focusing intently on Ivor and wasn't able to say much about what anybody else around was doing, and at that stage he hadn't really registered Mr de Menezes at all. He saw Ivor grapple with this man and there was a blur of movement. He thinks, largely from the statement that he made much closer to events, that that man was either

```
standing or attempting to stand. He was aware of
 1
 2
         Charlie 2 and Charlie 12 moving across the carriage from
 3
         the double doorways that were on his right, but he
         cannot remember seeing their weapons.
 4
 5
             The three of them forced Mr de Menezes back on to
         the seat from where he had come. He has a recollection
 6
 7
         of hearing shouts of "armed police". There was more
         than one shout and they occurred as Mr de Menezes was
 8
         being forced back, but he was focusing so intently on
 9
         Ivor that he cannot say whether the shouts had come from
10
11
         the platform or from Charlie 2 and Charlie 12. He
12
         thinks it likely that it was one or both of them.
             At that remove of time, he cannot say honestly at
13
         what precise point during the period that he is
14
15
         describing that those shouts were made, but he is
16
         positive that they were made. When Mr de Menezes was
17
         pushed back, he heard shots fired, he tensed up,
18
         expecting an explosion to happen, but it did not, and he
19
         had to draw himself back to the job in hand. He was
2.0
         still concerned about who Ivor was, because he had not
21
         ruled him out as being a subject. He also realised that
         Ivor might well be in a position of danger, so he pulled
22
23
         him out of the fray as hard as he could, and that is the
24
         explanation as to how Ivor came to be ejected from the
25
         train.
```

He covered Ivor with a pistol until Ivor protested
that he was a policeman, and Charlie 5 then turned back
to the events in the carriage. He saw the man whom he
now knows to be Mr de Menezes in a denim jacket slumped
across the seats.

2.4

He agreed with Mr Mansfield that in circumstances like these in a constrained space with other people around, it is imperative to get to close quarters if he is to be sure of delivering an incapacitating shot. But in circumstances where there has not been a critical shot authorisation, conventional firearms teaching requires that the officer should reveal to the person concerned, in one way or another, that they are armed police officers and by shouting an instruction before the shot is fired. It's then for the firearms officer to make an assessment of what it is that he's facing.

But these circumstances were unique, and it has to be left to an individual officer to decide how he would deal with the situation, and he didn't think that an officer could be criticised for deciding to take one course rather than another. It is a very difficult call to make to assess whether someone is wearing anything under their clothing and because it is impossible to produce a profile of a typical suicide bomber, in the end it must be for the individual officer to make his

- 1 own assessment.
- 2 He was asked whether he might have shot Ivor, and he
- 3 said that in fact, although he was still in doubt,
- 4 because he had heard and seen what had happened, his
- 5 threat assessment was diminishing at that stage, but
- 6 nevertheless it was difficult.
- 7 He insisted that he did hear shouts of "armed
- 8 police", and in that context he said that it was in any
- 9 event an incredibly difficult thing in the heat of the
- 10 moment to decide whether an oral warning was or was not
- 11 the right thing to do. There is in truth, he said, no
- 12 right answer. One officer may consider one thing, one
- may consider another at the time, depending what they
- 14 see and what they feel. No one has ever suggested any
- 15 technique that would enable a firearms officer,
- 16 particularly in restricted spaces, to be able to get up
- 17 close to a real suicide bomber and to challenge him
- 18 without effectively sacrificing his own life.
- 19 He could not conceive of any technique that there
- 20 might be. He considered that this was precisely the
- 21 kind of situation that would justify a decision that
- 22 a warning should not be given.
- 23 That was Charlie 5. I am going on to deal with one
- or two other firearms officers who were in the vicinity,
- 25 but no doubt you will be relieved to hear that I will do

```
that at 2 o'clock.
 1
     (12.40 pm)
 2
 3
                        (The short adjournment)
     (2.00 pm)
 4
 5
                    (In the presence of the jury)
     SIR MICHAEL WRIGHT: I am ashamed to tell you that I have
 6
 7
         two small slips of the tongue to correct. I hope you
         won't hold it against me too hard. When I was
 8
 9
         describing how Ivor tackled Mr de Menezes, I said that
         he finished up -- I said that he wrapped both of his
10
11
         arms round his torso, pinning his arms to his side and
12
         pushing him back into the seat where he had previously
         been sitting. Ivor had the right-hand side of his
13
         head -- I think I said "chest" which would have sounded
14
         a bit odd -- it was of course the right-hand side of his
15
         head pressed against the right-hand side of
16
17
         Mr de Menezes' chest.
             The other slip, which I suspect you probably picked
18
19
         up, was that when I was describing Charlie 12's approach
2.0
         to the station, he said he heard that the suspect was
2.1
         walking towards the tube station and entered it. He did
         not hear anything to the effect that, and I think
22
         I believe I said CO19 were going to do the stop; it
23
24
         should of course have been SO12 were going to do the
```

stop or that they were not going to do the stop.

2.0

2.1

Charlie 7 was one of the firearms team who had been posted into the rifle car, and it may be you can conclude that he must have been the man who was seen on the platform carrying what's been described as a long gun. When they reached Stockwell station, and he heard "state red" from Ralph, his state of mind was that he believed that the person he was going to stop from getting on the tube was a suicide bomber who had already entered the tube and was descending the escalator. He thinks he may have heard that as well. He entered the station, jumped over the barriers, and went down the escalators and drew his gun. As he went down the escalators, he was shouting at two people that he was an armed police officer and telling people to leave the station as quickly as possible.

This reflects his fear that the man they were following was a suicide bomber.

He turned towards platform 2 at the bottom of the escalators on the directions of a man who was standing there, and as he went through the cross tunnel, he could see what appeared to be a struggle on the train and at least two of his colleagues entering the train. The struggle appeared to be just inside the main standing

```
area, adjacent to the far set of double doors to the
 1
 2
         right and just to the right of that space. He was aware
 3
         that Charlie 2 was present but it all happened so
         incredibly quickly that he couldn't really estimate how
 4
 5
         many people were involved, save that there were at least
 6
         two.
 7
             As he was aware that there were armed officers in
 8
         that area, he went down to the next single access door
         to the right to act as a cut-off or containment. There
 9
10
         was a surveillance officer already there wearing
11
         a police cap with the black and white chequered band.
             When he was down by the train, he has no
12
         recollection of anybody shouting "armed police", and he
13
14
         said:
15
             "That's not to say it didn't happen, I just don't
16
         recollect it."
             He stayed by the doorway, but he could see
17
18
         Mr de Menezes, obviously badly wounded, slumped across
         the seat and the floor of the train with his knees on
19
20
         the floor and across one of the arms of the seat.
         A little later, he saw that Mr de Menezes' body had been
21
         moved because he was now lying on the floor of the
22
23
         train.
24
             He reaffirmed his belief that when he heard "state
         red" that this was a man who had been identified and
```

1	definitely identified as a suicide bomber from the
2	previous day. Indeed, that it was Hussain Osman. He
3	appreciated that this was neither a Kratos nor
4	a Clydesdale situation, and so that any armed stop that
5	took place would be governed by the conventional rules
6	relating to firearms operations.
7	In such circumstances, the ultimate responsibility
8	is on the individual firearms officer involving threat
9	assessments coupled with the intelligence that they have
10	got.
11	The big difference, he said, between this situation
12	and what might be described as a conventional firearms
13	intervention is that what was in question here was the
14	possibility of a bomb; and this was something that this
15	officer had never faced before, and in respect of which
16	he was conscious that he might not be going back up the
17	escalator again. He said:
18	"I knew that if anything went wrong I was dead, and
19	that was the bottom line."
20	I go back now to the other officers who were at
21	Stockwell station. In particular I go back to Ivor, Ken
22	and Geoff, the grey surveillance officers, and I go back
23	to the point where we left them, which was as Ivor was
24	tackling Mr de Menezes by wrapping his arms round his

body and arms.

1	The next ching Ivor heard was a guilshot very crose
2	to his left ear and he was hit by the shock wave of the
3	weapon. He was dragged to the floor of the carriage and
4	pulled away from the area, and we know who did that. He
5	landed on his knees with both hands on the floor, looked
6	up, and saw an armed officer wearing a chequered cap who
7	he thought must have assisted in dragging him away. We
8	know this was Charlie 5. He was aiming a firearm at
9	him, and so Ivor held out his hands and shouted "police"
10	as loudly as he could. As he was being dragged on the
11	floor and away from the scene, he heard several more
12	gunshots. He was dragged out of the carriage and was
13	able to stand up with his back to the platform wall with
14	his hands still raised and at this stage he was able to
15	extract his police chequered cap and put it on. The
16	armed police officers who were challenging him then
17	clearly accepted that he was a police officer and they
18	let him go.
19	At no stage did he hear Mr de Menezes say anything.
20	When he wrapped his arms around him and pushed him back
21	towards his seat he did not take up a sitting position
22	but appeared to keep his body stiff and rigid, but Ivor
23	was not prepared to say that he was resisting.
24	He told Mr Mansfield that he was frustrated at his

25 inability to obtain permission to detain Mr de Menezes

1	before he entered the station itself. He felt that once
2	Mr de Menezes had crossed the barriers and gone into the
3	tube network, there were a number of circumstances in
4	which they might have lost him, or the follow might have
5	become compromised, and while they were underground they
6	would not have been in a position to inform the ops room
7	of the situation.
8	He confirmed that he would not have made the offer
9	to stop Mr de Menezes in the first place unless he
10	thought that he really could stop him with the
11	assistance of Ken and any other surveillance officers
12	who might have been available.
13	He explained, both to Mr Mansfield and Mr Horwell,
14	that as Mr de Menezes had his hands occupied with his
15	mobile phone in one hand and his newspaper in the other,
16	he, Ivor, in conjunction with Ken, could simply probably
17	have walked up to him from behind, each grabbed an arm,
18	told him they were police officers, put him down to the
19	ground and detained him, probably using handcuffs. He
20	would not have required to produce his pistol.
21	On the other hand, I remind you what I told you
22	yesterday and what he had said, that Mr Swain, with his

knowledge of the volatility of peroxide-based

an extremely hazardous thing to do.

explosives, thought that even this might have been

23

24

1 However, of course, as we now know in hindsight, 2 Mr de Menezes was not carrying a bomb. Ivor thought 3 that he could carry out this manoeuvre and in the circumstances, as we now know them to be, you may think 4 that Ivor could have done it and it would have been 5 a feasible and safe thing to do. 6 Ivor described Mr de Menezes' movement towards him 7 and the firearms officers only over a few feet as 8 a "fast walk". It could only have been two or three 9 10 steps. At this point his view, having seen the CO19 11 officers, was that the threat assessment had changed and 12 he could not now afford to take a chance. Until the CO19 officers appeared, although he was anxious and very 13 uncomfortable about what was happening, he was prepared 14 to try to continue with the surveillance follow. 15 16 I turn to Ken. After he saw the grappling struggle 17 between Ivor and Mr de Menezes, he saw the SO19 officers 18 move in closer, and saw their weapons. He saw one armed 19 officer go to the left-hand side of Ivor, and the other

between Ivor and Mr de Menezes, he saw the SO19 officer move in closer, and saw their weapons. He saw one armed officer go to the left-hand side of Ivor, and the other probably to the other side and he heard somebody shout "armed police, get down". This appeared to come from someone within the carriage. One officer leant forward over Ivor with a black pistol in his right hand, moved it down towards Mr de Menezes in proximity to his upper torso and head, and fired several shots.

20

21

22

23

1	He was not aware whether the other officer had also
2	fired. There was an immediate action from the people on
3	the train, screaming and shouting, and running out. Ken
4	drew his gun in case he might need it, and put on his
5	blue police firearms cap. He shouted, "armed police,
6	get down". He could see Mr de Menezes slumped on the
7	seat and then assisted the SO19 officers to clear the
8	train carriage of people.
9	He insisted that when the group of armed officers
10	were moving towards the doors of the carriage, he
11	clearly heard the words "armed police" shouted. The
12	description of Mr de Menezes appearing to lunge or bolt
13	forward towards the open door, which is what is
14	contained in his original statement, was a very accurate
15	description of what he saw. What surprised him about
16	Mr de Menezes' reaction was that it was not what he
17	would have expected from someone being challenged by the
18	police. The challenge that he refers to was the
19	shouting of "armed police" as the group of men moved
20	towards the carriage doors.

He took the view that the fact that Mr de Menezes appeared to start getting up was indicative of non-compliance, and he did not think that the movement was one of a man acting in a normal manner. He also thought that it was unusual that Mr de Menezes did not

```
use his arms on the armrests in order to get up, and he
 1
 2
         believed that the struggle that started between Ivor and
 3
         Mr de Menezes was indicative of the fact that
         Mr de Menezes was resisting, moving and trying to evade
 4
 5
         Ivor's grasp.
 6
             He was challenged as to whether or not he really
 7
         perceived Mr de Menezes to be a threat, and his answer
         was that he was convinced that Mr de Menezes was indeed
 8
         a possible for the subject and therefore a potential
 9
10
         threat, and that he, like the other surveillance
11
         officers, was of the view that once the opportunity to
12
         detain Mr de Menezes on the concourse had not been taken
         up, they were expecting the surveillance follow to
13
         continue. He thought Mr de Menezes was indeed
14
         a potential threat, but as far as he was concerned, it
15
16
         was his job to follow people and that was what he was
17
         going to do.
             Geoff added very little to what he had already said
18
19
         before, when I broke off with his account, and that's no
2.0
         doubt because he was a little further away at the end of
21
         the carriage. But having seen Ivor pushing
         Mr de Menezes back down towards his seat, he saw one of
22
         the SO19 officers lean over Ivor, put his pistol towards
23
24
         the side of Mr de Menezes' head and the next he heard
25
         was gunfire.
```

1	he heard several shocs. He then left the train at
2	the door by which he had entered it, and rushed round to
3	the open double doors to see Ivor being dragged off the
4	train or out of the carriage by one of the SO19
5	officers. The whole incident only took from five to ten
6	seconds, from the first time that he heard the noises on
7	the platform, and the shots were fired over a maximum
8	period of a couple of seconds. It was all exceptionally
9	quick.
10	Neither of the officers who went across to
11	Mr de Menezes were wearing chequered caps, nor did
12	either of them, so far as he could remember, say or
13	shout anything. The distance between Mr de Menezes and
14	Ivor was only a few feet, so that he had not got very
15	far to go before Ivor grabbed him. He was reminded of
16	the evidence that he gave at the criminal trial in
17	November last year, and it was apparent that he had used
18	the word "jump up" or "rose sharply" in relation to
19	Mr de Menezes on that occasion also, and his impression,
20	he told you, was that Mr de Menezes was trying to leave
21	the train in a hurry.
22	The only other police officers who add very much to
23	the description of the events on the carriage are two
24	members of the green firearms team who were temporarily
25	attached to the black team, namely Terry, who was the

```
green team leader, and Delta 9.
 1
 2
             When Terry arrived at Binfield Road, he ran into the
 3
         station carrying both his pistol and his rifle. He went
         through the barriers and down the escalator and on
 4
 5
         reaching the platform, he saw a surveillance officer
         standing in the open carriage doorway pointing to his
 6
 7
         left. This is obviously, you may think, Ken.
             Accordingly, Terry turned to his right, Ken's left,
 8
         turned to his right down the platform and he was at that
 9
         point superficially aware, as he said, of Charlie 2 who
10
11
         was a few paces in front of him.
12
             By the time Terry got to the second set of open
         carriage doors, there was a struggle taking place in
13
         front of him in the carriage, but his view was blocked
14
15
         and he could not see clearly. Everything was happening
16
         very, very quickly, and as he entered the carriage he
         could hear "police" and "armed police" being shouted
17
         around him, but he could not say from which specific
18
         direction.
19
2.0
             He then heard cracking noises which he realised were
         pistol shots, saw debris flying into the air, and then
21
         saw an individual slumped over the seat with his face
22
```

His recollection is that the shouts of "armed police" were issued loudly and clearly within the

23

24

25

down.

carriage, and that he himself repeated and reinforced
them as he entered the carriage.

2.1

In the immediate aftermath of the shooting, he was very, very concerned that there was a possibility that the individual whom he had seen slumped over the seat was still wearing a concealed explosive device which might still detonate, and he took steps first of all by calling out warnings, by directing the public to evacuate the platform area, and by passing messages up to the surface to ensure that first aid equipment and explosives officers were brought to the scene as quickly as possible.

Delta 9, the other green team officer, went down the escalator shouting, "Armed police, don't go on the platforms", and this was because he thought that the suspect was carrying a device and would detonate it if he became aware of pursuit.

When he got down to the lower concourse, he saw through the cross tunnel a train standing at the platform and an officer indicating diagonally right into the open door. He said that he could hear shouting of "armed police" but he couldn't say where it was coming from. He saw Mr de Menezes sitting in his seat and suddenly stand up and try to get away. He definitely moved away from his seat when he was grabbed by

```
1 Charlie 2, Charlie 12 and another officer.
```

2.1

Delta 9 then got onto the train and saw that Mr de Menezes appeared to have gone rigid and that his hand was down to his side below where Ivor was wrapping his arms around his body. And accordingly, Delta 9 bent down to get hold of the hand in order to prevent it being used to detonate a device, and it was as he was trying to do this that he heard the shots, saw the blood, and realised that Mr de Menezes had been seriously injured.

This officer also thought that the suspect might have a bomb, and he also joined in urging people to get out. He was insistent to Mr Mansfield that he did hear "armed police" and also that Mr de Menezes did definitely get up, and he thought that the stiffness in Mr de Menezes was indicative of resistance.

I turn now to consider with you the evidence that you heard from the various, what I call bystander witnesses, that's to say members of the public, not members of the police.

Seven passengers on the train were called to give live evidence. In general, they illustrate the well known truth that where a varying number of people perceive the same unexpected, frightening, shocking, violent and fast-moving incident, the eyewitness

versions that are produced thereafter are likely to vary 1 2 in a very substantial and sometimes almost bizarre 3 degree. However that may be, the witnesses with the best 4 5 point of view were probably Mr Livock and Ms Wilson, who were sitting side by side, almost opposite 6 7 Mr de Menezes. Mr Livock remembers a man, probably Ivor, passing 8 him from right to left, and then hearing a voice from 9 his left including the word "here". Next he saw a man 10 11 coming through the door on his left with a pistol 12 levelled at the head of the man opposite, Mr de Menezes. He heard nothing said and Mr de Menezes did not look 13 14 frightened. He seemed to be waiting for someone to tell 15 him what was going on. Three more men followed the 16 first man in, two with handguns, one with a rifle. 17 They all moved towards Mr de Menezes and the two 18 other handguns were also aimed at Mr de Menezes. No-one 19 was wearing any identifying clothing, and nothing, he's 20 absolutely certain, was said about police. He had no 21 idea who these people were. He was wondering what was going on and Mr de Menezes was sitting there, looking at 22 23 the leader, almost expectantly. He does remember, 24 however, seeing Mr de Menezes' hand reaching to the

waistband area on the right-hand side of his trousers.

The leading man advanced his gun to about 1 foot from
the head of Mr de Menezes and fired.

Mr Livock realised that he should not be there, and
he stood up and moved away to his right. He heard one
or two more shots and looked around, and saw

in his recollection.

or two more shots and looked around, and saw

Mr de Menezes slumped in the seat, leaning over to his

left. He heard at least one more shot and heard voices

shouting "get out". He saw a man in the single doorway

with a gun, and that troubled him, but that man stood

back in order to let him off the train. He told

Mr Gibbs that Mr de Menezes appeared to be reaching

inside his jacket, possibly to a trouser pocket; or

alternatively to Mr Stern, that he might have been

reaching for something on his belt. He did not recall

Mr de Menezes leaving his seat, but in common with many

other witnesses, including some of the police officers

who were present, he thinks that there are gaps or holes

He wondered at first whether this group were people having a joke in very bad taste in the light of what had happened the previous day. He didn't think that

Mr de Menezes advanced towards anybody, and equally he did not see him being pushed back or being grabbed by anybody, and his recollection is that no-one else was touching Mr de Menezes when he was shot. He only saw

one person shooting; the other two with pistols were

further back and the rifleman was further back still by

the double doors on the other side of the carriage. He

was absolutely sure that he did not hear "armed police"

shouted.

Ms Wilson remembers a man who had been sitting on her left hurriedly getting off the train just before this incident happened but she had no memory of anybody crossing from right to left in front of them. Seconds after that man left, she heard shouting on the platform and several people came onto the train. They were casually dressed, she had no idea who they were, nothing was said to give her any clue, so at first she thought they were people playing the fool, and then she thought they were terrorists and it was only after the incident was over that she realised that they were not.

Specifically, she never heard anybody shout "armed police" because if she had heard that, she would have known who they were. She saw that one of the men was carrying a long gun which required two hands to hold, and the others were carrying handguns. They stood between the glass panels in the door section of the carriage, looking around, and focused on the man sitting opposite to her, Mr de Menezes. At least one man with a handgun then moved forward towards Mr de Menezes and

```
to one side of him, and fired into the right rear part
 1
 2
         of his head. She did not see Mr de Menezes move but she
 3
         was focusing on the man with the long gun and it was not
         until the first shot occurred that she looked across the
 5
         carriage.
             Several shots were fired. She didn't count them but
 6
 7
         there seemed to be more than five, and she only saw one
         person firing. She sat very still so as not to attract
 8
         attention to herself, and when the shooting finished she
 9
10
         moved away towards the single door at the right-hand end
11
         of the carriage as we look at it and out onto the
12
         platform. She repeated to Mr Stern that she never saw
         Mr de Menezes leave his seat but she only looked over at
13
         him after the first shot was fired. Curiously she did
14
         not feel under threat from these men as they were not
15
16
         looking at her. She was shocked but not scared. She
17
         remembers Mr de Menezes as having light coloured or
         mousy brown hair. She remembers no shouting of "armed
18
19
         police" or anything else:
2.0
             "One of the things I recall the most is the silence
21
         of the thing."
             She agreed that she could be hazy about details, but
22
         she believes that her recollection of the order of
23
24
         events is correct.
25
             Wesley Merrill was sitting on one of the folding
```

```
seats on the far side of the carriage to the left of the
 1
 2
         right-hand double doors. He heard heavy footsteps and
 3
         people running outside, and he saw a man in the standing
         area, pointing across the carriage with words such as
 4
         "that's the man" or "that's the guy" in a loud
 5
         authoritative voice. He immediately identified this man
 6
         as an undercover police officer, being well built with
 7
         a short haircut, heavy boots and a T-shirt tucked into
 8
 9
         his jeans.
10
             This man was followed by two or three more officers,
11
         one with a rifle and at least one other with a handgun.
12
         They came in around the man who had pointed, and that
         man and one of the others moved over to Mr de Menezes
13
         and pushed him down over the seats. Mr Merrill realised
14
15
         that something serious was going on and he stood up,
16
         focusing mostly on the man with the rifle. He remembers
         that the man with the rifle was wearing a police cap, as
17
         was another officer whom he saw later.
18
             He saw one of the officers come around from behind
19
20
         the rifleman, raise his pistol, and begin shooting. He
2.1
         heard five or six shots. He didn't remember seeing
         Mr de Menezes move forward from his seat at all, but
22
         he's not sure that he would have been looking at him at
23
24
         that point. He heard neither Mr de Menezes nor any of
         the officers say anything. The next thing he remembers
```

```
is that there was a police officer with a pistol and
 1
         a cap standing in front of him and telling him to stand
 2
 3
         still.
             The officers who had been grouped around
 4
 5
         Mr de Menezes moved away. He, Mr Merrill, was
         instructed to get off the tube train, and as he did so,
 6
 7
         he saw Mr de Menezes lying across the seats to his left
         with his feet towards the right, and face down away from
 8
 9
         him.
10
             The rucksack that he saw which we now know had been
11
         brought in by Ivor, he thought belonged to
12
         Mr de Menezes. He never heard anybody shout "armed
         police" at any time. He did hear generalised shouting
13
         for people to get off the train or stand still or get
14
         off the platform.
15
16
             Anna Dunwoodie got on at Tooting Bec, and sat in
         a seat on the right-hand block of seats facing the
17
18
         platform, as you can see in tab 35. She remembers
19
         Mr Livock and Ms Wilson getting on, and she remembered
20
         Ivor as we now know getting on at Stockwell sitting next
21
         to her on her left, which would be the end seat, with
         a rucksack on his knee.
22
             The general atmosphere in the train that day was
23
24
         tense, and everyone was a bit jumpy. Ivor seemed
         nervous, unzipping his bag and going through it and he
25
```

```
made her nervous. Suddenly he got up and moved very
 1
 2
         quickly to the double doors to her right and she saw
 3
         a group of men on the platform in jeans and T-shirts
         shouting to each other and to the man in the doorway.
 4
 5
         And she heard Ivor shout something like "this is it" or
         more likely "there he is", because he was pointing
 6
 7
         towards the row of seats that she was sitting in.
             There was suddenly a lot of people in a relatively
 8
 9
         small space, all big men, and there was shouting and
10
         shuffling around and guns appeared, and the next thing
11
         she saw was a gun pressed to the head of the man sitting
12
         on her right.
             Everyone sat very still, but further away in the
13
         carriage there was a sense of panic and people moving,
14
         and she also felt a sense of panic in the men in the
15
16
         doorway, in that they didn't seem quite sure what they
         were going to do next and she found that very
17
18
         frightening.
19
             There was other shouting from people outside the
20
         carriage and from this point on she also has a memory of
21
         separate short images or snapshots rather than a
22
         continuous flow.
             Initially she told the police that it was Ivor who
23
24
         held the gun to the neck of the man on her right, but
25
         she quickly realised that that was wrong. She does,
```

1 however, remember him as being part of the general scrum 2 of men going towards the man on her right. 3 The man with the gun was holding it at arm's-length pressed against Mr de Menezes' neck, whose eyes were 4 5 closed and he looked almost calm. She saw flashes, and 6 heard a quiet popping noise. She saw at least two 7 handguns and definitely one longer gun, and there may have been more. The shots were not a continuous 8 9 sequence. There were breaks. She heard no shouting directed at Mr de Menezes, and 10 11 no shouts from the area of the platform containing the 12 word "police". She had no memory of Mr de Menezes getting up or moving forward in any way. She's very 13 clear that she never heard any mention of police, 14 because she would have seized on this as an explanation 15 16 of what was happening. The next thing she really remembers is looking for 17 18 a way out and going through the door on her left, and 19 she went over to the Victoria Line and caught a train to

Pimlico.

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

2.5

She explained her reference to panic in the police officers by saying that the surveillance officer, that's Ivor, really seemed to be frightened or hyped up, and when he was calling the other men in, they seemed full of adrenalin and moving quickly and jerkily and things

felt like they were a bit out of control. That's what 1 2 it felt like. 3 She picked up on this because there was little space and they were very close to her. She did remember 4 5 shouting to the effect, "get off the train", "everybody out", "everybody off the train". She did say in 6 7 re-examination by Mr Hough that the differences between the accounts given in her first and second statements 8 may be accounted for by the pressure that she felt under 9 10 at the time. 11 Simon Dixon also got on at Tooting Bec, took a seat at the left-hand end of the carriage as we see it on 12 tab 35, and sat with his back to the platform. That end 13 of the carriage was moderately busy, no-one standing 14 that he could remember, and at Stockwell he was aware 15 16 that there was a delay. He heard a noise over his right shoulder which sounded like a group running for the 17 18 train, shouting and hurrying along together. He looked 19 across and saw four men who appeared to be coming from 2.0 the concourse at the foot of the escalators whom he immediately recognised as being police officers. One 21 was wearing a police cap and carrying a submachine gun, 22 and he presumed that all of them were police officers. 23 24 He then became aware of disquiet and panic from the

other end of the carriage, a girl's voice cry out "oh my

1	God" or something like that, and it was at this stage
2	that he believes that his perception of timing becomes
3	slightly awry because he is another witness who
4	recollects what he called freeze frame images of events
5	The men he had seen entered the carriage at the far
6	set of double doors from him. He became aware of
7	a movement or struggle and then a movement of someone
8	being dragged to the floor in the carriage and
9	surrounded by men with guns.
10	He confirmed the content of his statement, to the
11	effect that there were about four or five officers in
12	the carriage, a mixture of uniformed officers who were
13	wearing police caps and plain clothes officers holding
14	guns who appeared to be pinning someone to the floor.
15	At that stage he only saw a submachine gun, and he
16	didn't see any handguns. He explained the noises that
17	he had initially heard as being a group of men running
18	for the train, because he heard words such as "here" or
19	"this way" or "over here".
20	He then heard the gunshots, between six and eight
21	distinct shots, fairly evenly spaced with no break in
22	the sequence that he heard. The whole situation seemed
23	to be under control and ordered in that the police
24	officers seemed to know what they were doing and
25	carrying out their roles very efficiently. Because of

```
the events of the previous day and two weeks earlier, he
 1
 2
         presumed that they were indeed apprehending a suicide
 3
         bomber.
             After this, there were numerous shouts of "get off"
 4
 5
         but he couldn't see what had happened down the carriage
         because the officers themselves were obscuring his view.
 6
 7
         He immediately walked off the carriage and was directed
         out of the station by a plain clothes officer with
 8
         a gun. There was repeated shouting of "get off" and he
 9
         left the station.
10
11
             He stressed that one of the difficulties in
12
         describing an event of this kind is the question of
         timing, and he found it difficult to say whether the
13
         episode lasted half a second, two seconds or five
14
15
         seconds. It seemed almost instantaneous in his mind and
16
         at no stage did he hear anybody identify themselves as
         police officers.
17
             Robert Preston said that now his recollection of the
18
19
         whole event is very, very shaky and he preferred to rely
20
         upon the statements that he made in July and
2.1
         August 2005. But he remembers getting on at
         Clapham Common and sitting in the right-hand end of the
22
         middle block of seats in the carriage with his back to
23
```

the platform. He was reading a newspaper when he heard

a disturbance on the platform involving loud shouting.

24

2.5

```
1
         The tone of the shouting was very sharp and he saw a man
 2
         get on the double doors just to his right. This man
 3
         stopped in the centre of the carriage looking left and
         right, and made eye contact with Mr Preston, whose
 4
 5
         impression was that he looked scared as though something
         was wrong. He then recollects this man heading towards
 6
 7
         the seats at the right-hand end of the carriage but not
         sitting down. His general impression was that this man
 8
 9
         might have been Asian.
10
             His attention was then drawn away by more noise
11
         outside and more people came through the doorway whom he
         instantly realised were police officers. He thought he
12
         saw bullet-proof vests. When they spoke, shouting
13
         something like "down, get down", their voices were
14
15
         commanding. They appeared to be focusing on the Asian
16
         male and although the sequence of events is very unclear
         to him, he remembers them getting into a kind of rugby
17
18
         huddle as they jumped on him and tackled him to the
19
         ground in some way.
20
             Although the contents of his statement sound
         accurate to him, his present live recollection is very
21
         dim, but at this stage he decided to get off the train,
22
23
         and when he was just through the door, he heard the
```

shots. He then left the station as fast as he could.

He was referred to his statement of 22 July, in which he

described the first man running on the train, the man of
Asian appearance, as wearing a lot of very baggy
clothing or alternatively being very large. He was
shown some of the still photographs of Mr de Menezes in
the station and those pictures do not remind him of the

man he saw at all.

2.5

Mark Whitby entered the tube at Stockwell station shortly before 10 o'clock, went down, and got on the northbound Northern Line train that was then sitting at the platform with its doors open. He took a seat to the right of the first set of double doors, and then heard a lot of noise coming from the escalator region shouting "get down" or "get out" repeatedly.

He looked to his right, and saw an Asian man come on to the train first, who appeared to be being pursued by a group of other men. He saw a hand push that man to the floor and a couple of people jump on him to pin him down. This man appeared to stumble as he came on the carriage, and looked left and right and was bundled to the floor by the group behind him. Having now seen the CCTV footage, he believes that this man was in fact Ivor, and that he was bundled out of the way to allow passage for the man who ultimately shot Mr de Menezes. He describes him as wearing a heavy jacket and a darker coloured baseball cap, and when he came on the train he

```
1 really looked petrified.
```

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

2 Another man came on through the double doors to 3 Mr Whitby's left who pointed to the area where this man had stumbled on and shouted out "there he is". Another 5 man came on through the double doors to his left. He pointed to where the Asian man had stumbled on and 6 shouted "there he is". He then moved to what was 7 becoming a bit of a scrum where the Asian man was either 8 flat on the floor or on his knees going down as though 9 he was being pushed from behind by the people who had 10 11 been pursuing him.

I am conscious that I may have repeated the same sentence twice just a moment ago, and that's the fault of my typed-up note. I think there is only one man coming through the double doors pointing to the Asian man and shouting "there he is", not two.

This witness also, because of the events of the previous day and the week before, thought that this was a case of a suicide bomber being pursued by police.

The men who had come in were followed by another man, a tall chap, with a large black automatic gun in his left hand held at head height and pointing to the ceiling. He walked calmly on, took about a pace forward and leant forward. Throughout this account,

25 Mr de Menezes was completely hidden from this witness's

view by the bodies that were between him and Mr Whitby. 1 2 He saw the tall man lean down and fire five times and at 3 this stage he thought it was time to get off the train. There was a distinct pattern of shots, two very 4 5 quick, a very short hesitation, and three more fired more slowly and more deliberately. He only saw one 6 7 person firing and he did not see any other guns. No person shouted "armed police" when the men were 8 on the train; there was no mention of police. He left 9 10 the platform and began to make his way up the stairs. 11 About one third of the way up he heard three more shots and he and the large lady he was then helping crouched 12 down. He thinks that this must have been about 30 13 seconds after hearing the first five shots, and at that 14 time there were a group of SO19 officers or CO19 15 16 officers wearing baseball caps with the chequered pattern and carrying submachine guns who were running 17 down the other side. 18 19 You may think, it's a matter for you, he must have

You may think, it's a matter for you, he must have been mistaken about hearing shots so long after the initial confrontation between the officers and Mr de Menezes. Is that an example of the sort of timing problem about which Mr Dixon spoke? He did not hear any mention of "armed police", nor any shout such as "stand up, stand still", or any instruction of that kind. All

20

2.1

22

23

24

```
he heard was "get down" or "get out".
 1
 2
             When he was examined by Mr Gibbs, he made it plain
 3
         that the Asian man he saw enter the carriage, whom he
         has now identified as Ivor, is the man that he
 4
 5
         originally thought was the man who had been shot.
             Seven other civilian witnesses gave evidence that
 6
 7
         was read to you. Here again, there was a wide variation
         in the details observed or recollected by these various
 8
 9
         witnesses.
10
             They include a description by the driver of the
11
         train, Mr Oji, who remembers the shots being fired from
         the platform; Ms Wrobel, who described the shots being
12
         fired into the ceiling of the carriage; another witness
13
         who thought that the police officers were wearing
14
15
         bullet-proof jackets; and at least one witness who
16
         suggests that the shooting was done with rifles rather
         than handguns.
17
18
             Several witnesses remember only one person shooting.
19
         Most of the witnesses recollect some form of shouting,
20
         often "get out" but on one occasion "stay in". Nobody,
21
         however, remembers hearing the words "armed police",
         although some recognised people on the platform and
22
23
         entering the carriage as police officers.
24
             That's all I have as far as the events in the
```

station and on the train are concerned, and so with

```
Mr Hilliard's assistance, I am going to show you, remind
 1
 2
         you about some of the film.
 3
             I am not going to worry about the following in the
         cars. You may think it doesn't take it very much
 4
 5
         further. I am going to concentrate on the film at
         Stockwell station. Start with MB/2, camera 12. I am
 6
 7
         not going to commentate, I am just going to let you
         watch it.
 8
                         (Video footage shown)
 9
             CC/3, camera 6.
10
11
                         (Video footage shown)
12
             CC/3, camera 8.
13
                         (Video footage shown)
             CC/3, camera 4.
14
                        (Video footage shown)
15
             CC/3, camera 7.
16
17
                         (Video footage shown)
             CC/3, camera 5.
18
19
                         (Video footage shown)
             I can deal with the aftermath of events in the
20
21
         carriage relatively briefly. It is perhaps, you may
22
         think, indicative of the degree of concern that was felt
         among the various police officers involved that expert
23
24
         explosives officers were almost immediately on the
         scene, arriving in fact at 10.10, only four minutes
```

1	after the shots were fired. Even the ambulance
2	paramedics were not allowed down until David, that was
3	his pseudonym, the explosives officer, had carried out
4	at least some checks to ascertain that it was safe to go
5	down into the tube. Those checks included not only
6	visual checks upon Mr de Menezes' body and clothing but
7	also x-ray examination of his shoes and his mobile
8	phone.
9	The ambulance crew arrived at 10.17, and were held
10	back for a time by one of the CO19 officers to make sure
11	that it was safe for them to go down. Having been taken
12	down, it was only necessary for them to see that there
13	was a man lying face down on the carriage floor directly
14	in front of the doors with a massive trauma to his head,
15	and only a relatively superficial visual examination
16	made it clear that he was dead.
17	At 10.26 the helicopter emergency medical service
18	arrived, with Dr Roderick MacKenzie, who also confined
19	himself to a visual examination of Mr de Menezes' body
20	because it was quite obvious that he had unsurvivable
21	head injuries. The doctor formally confirmed death at
22	10.30 that morning.
23	He also briefly checked on Charlie 12 and Charlie 2
24	who were at the main station entrance. Their main
25	concern was related to the fact that they had blood and

```
1
         body fluids on them and they were reassured by the
 2
         doctor that there was a low risk of contamination, and
 3
         he describes them both as quite subdued and looking
         exhausted.
 4
 5
             As is always the case in violent death, a consultant
 6
         pathologist is called to carry out an examination and
 7
         post-mortem on the body. The pathologist in this case
         is Dr Ken Shorrock who has been a consultant pathologist
 8
         for some 20 years during which time he has conducted
 9
10
         many, many examinations which have resulted in his
11
         having to give evidence in court.
12
             His report is dated 27 July 2005, and you have seen
         it, together with the drawings that were done by
13
         Mr Tomei. He was asked to deal with the history section
14
15
         of his report, and his explanation is that when he
16
         arrived at Stockwell tube station on the 22nd, he was
         given a run-through of the scene, or a walk-through if
17
18
         you like, with a number of police officers, and there
19
         was then a talk through as to what was supposed to have
20
         happened. He didn't make any notes at the time,
2.1
         although he was talking to several people, and
         subsequently he got his dictaphone and began to dictate
22
23
         his report.
24
             The information that is contained in his history
         section, he asserts, is something that he received
```

```
1
         before he saw it on the news or read it in the
 2
         newspapers or anything else. He suggests that he and
 3
         the press got that information from a common source.
         I'll come back to it in a moment.
 4
 5
             On the following day he went to Greenwich Mortuary
 6
         to conduct the post-mortem and he asked whether anything
 7
         had changed and he was told in essence that nothing had
         changed overnight. Accordingly, his history is
 8
         a distillation of what he was told on the first day and
 9
10
         that history contains, as you will remember, assertions
11
         that Mr de Menezes had jumped over a ticket barrier, and
12
         when he entered the train, he stumbled and was
         immobilised by police officers. A small reflection, \ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}
13
         think, of one of the civilian witnesses, you may
14
15
         remember.
16
             As this version does not accord with anybody else's
         recollection or evidence, Detective Superintendent Evans
17
         and Detective Sergeant Slade, who were the officers of
18
19
         the special investigations command of the
         Directorate of Professional Standards of the
2.0
21
         Metropolitan Police, who were responsible for the
         immediate investigation of this death, were called to
22
         tell you what it was that they say Dr Shorrock had been
23
24
         told.
```

In summary, Mr Evans told you that his understanding

```
was that shortly after 10 o'clock that morning,
 1
 2
         a surveillance officer had called for armed assistance
 3
         at Stockwell, two officers had entered the tube train
         and when the suspect was identified to them he leapt
 4
 5
         towards them. The surveillance officer was pushed out
         of the way and shots were fired. The suspect was shot
 6
 7
         in the head.
             Mr Evans told you that he got that information from
 8
         Trojan 84, who had briefed them when they arrived at the
 9
10
         Underground station. Nothing had ever been said to him
11
         or by him about Mr de Menezes jumping over a ticket
         barrier or anything about him stumbling or being
12
         immobilised.
13
             Detective Sergeant Slade, as he then was, was also
14
15
         called to deal with this question and he was able to
16
         tell you that at about 12.45 that day he had on
         Mr Evans' instruction contacted the Southwark Coroner
17
         via the Coroner's officer, and he produced the report
18
19
         that was written by the Coroner's officer, Mrs Fendt,
20
         which in its relevant portions confirmed in identical
2.1
         terms the account that Mr Evans said that he had given
         to Dr Shorrock. This account was repeated on the
22
23
         following day at Greenwich Mortuary when the post-mortem
24
         was carried out.
```

However, both officers remember hearing, and

1	Dr Shorrock referring to the radio reports which had
2	contained these inaccuracies being broadcast on the
3	morning of Saturday the 23rd. Given that the history
4	actually set out by Dr Shorrock appears to bear very
5	substantial discrepancies from everything that you have
6	been told by other witnesses and indeed what you have
7	seen on the closed-circuit television, you are invited
8	to consider and you may consider that for the reasons
9	suggested, the pathologist appears to have got hold of
10	the wrong end of the stick as far as this aspect of the
11	matter is concerned.

Now turning to his professional investigation, he was able to tell you that by the time he went into the carriage, the scene had been checked for explosives and he found Mr de Menezes lying on the floor face down with very obvious severe head injuries. He saw that the third seat from the double doors on the right, furthest away from the platform, was heavily saturated with blood and this was known to be the seat next to the one in which Mr de Menezes had been sitting.

On the following day, at the Greenwich Mortuary, he confirms that Mr Alex Pereira, Mr de Menezes' cousin, identified the body and he noted that he was a young man, 5-foot 8 inches tall, weighing 70 kilograms and of Mediterranean complexion. He himself found some sort of

```
identification document in a pocket of Mr de Menezes'
 1
 2
         clothes. There were a number of bullet wounds which
 3
         were noted on a body map sketch by the firearms expert,
         Mr Tomei, one such wound to Mr de Menezes' back and the
 4
 5
         remainder to the head.
             Let me identify each numbered mark. Number 1 does
 6
 7
         not appear on the sketch because it was to the right
         side of the upper part of the back level with the
 8
         shoulder. The track from that entry wound passed from
 9
         right to left across the body and the bullet was still
10
11
         there.
12
             Number 2, which is marked, this was a deep entry
         wound to the right side of the head above and behind the
13
14
         ear.
             Number 3 was not an entry wound at all.
15
16
             Number 4 was a deep entry wound to the right side of
17
         the head above the ear.
             Number 5 was a tangential wound where the bullet may
18
         not have entered the head at all.
19
20
             Number 6, this was an entry wound to the right side
2.1
         of the head behind the ear.
             Number 7 was an entry wound to the rear of the head
22
```

Number 8 was another tangential wound where the

bullet may not have entered the head at all.

at the right side.

23

Τ	So there were four bullet entry wounds where the
2	bullets had entered the head and done much damage
3	internally.
4	Numbers 5 and 8 were not; they were furrows where
5	the bullet had passed tangentially across the skull,
6	seriously damaging the scalp and to some extent the
7	skull beneath.
8	On the other side of the head, the left-hand side,
9	were exit wounds, either of bullets or of fragments of
LO	bone which had been created by the force of the impact,
11	and had then themselves come out through the left-hand
12	side of the skull.
13	There was also bruising to the right upper eye,
L 4	caused almost certainly by the trauma of the head; to
15	the left side of the chest, almost certainly caused by
16	the bullet that had lodged in the body; and a single
L7	bruise on the left forearm which may or may not have
18	been contemporaneous with the shooting.
19	Any one of the four entry wounds to the head, that's
20	2, 4, 6 and 7, would have been fatal. And because of
21	the nature of the injury, death would have been
22	instantaneous.
23	Furthermore, once the first bullet had hit
24	Mr de Menezes' head and went in, he will have had no
25	perception of what was going on. The cause of death was

1	multiple gunshot wounds to the head. It was impossible
2	to say whether the brain stem was injured, because the
3	brain was so badly damaged that it simply wasn't
4	possible to tell.
5	There was not only the direct damage caused by the
6	immediate entry and passage of the bullets, but there
7	was also the collateral damage caused by fragments of
8	bullet and of bone and also by the shockwaves caused by
9	the impacts. As soon as the first shot had gone in, and
10	we don't know which one it was, Mr de Menezes would have
11	been incapacitated and unconscious and death would have
12	occurred instantly. He can't say in what relative
13	positions the two firearms officers were in, in relation
14	to Mr de Menezes when they fired.
15	He can only say that the general trend was that the
16	bullets had passed from right to left across the body
17	and that the exit wounds were at a slightly higher level
18	than the entry wounds but not much could be read into
19	that.
20	Although death was instantaneous, the heart could
21	continue to beat for a little time thereafter so that
22	the bruise on the arm could have been caused before or
23	after death.
24	Also called to the scene of the shooting on 22 July
25	was a Mr Franco Tomei who is a forensic scientist who

has been specialising in the examination of firearms and
ammunition for more than 30 years.

He originally worked for 22 years as a forensic scientist for the Metropolitan Police laboratory and since then he's been working for the Forensic Science Service which is now an independent laboratory.

He was called to the scene of the shooting on 22 July and when he arrived the body of Mr de Menezes was still present. He noted the presence of various spent cartridge cases lying around the carriage and both bullets and fragments of bullet and bullet damage to an armrest and some seats and he noted a dent to the window surround in the carriage.

He was present at a post-mortem examination the following day at Greenwich Mortuary. The wound sites on the left-hand side of the head, he said were exit sites, either of bullet material or bone fragments; and the extent of the damage to the skull and the tissue was so great that it was not possible to associate any particular entry wound with any of the exit injuries; and the numbering which is used on the body map which I showed you is arbitrary; it's not possible to say anything about the order in which the wounds were suss strained.

The presence of particles of propellant found in the

skin indicates that on all but one of the head wounds,

the range of firing had to be relatively short, but it's

not possible to determine specifically at what distance

the shots were actually fired.

After the post-mortem, Mr Tomei went back to the tube carriage itself to establish whether Mr de Menezes was actually on the floor at the time when the shots were fired, and he was able to establish from the absence of damage to the floor that he was not. He also investigated the possibility of establishing where he was at the time of the shooting, and he concluded from the bullet damage to the armrest between seats 3 and 4 and the extensive bloodstaining on seat 3 and the bullet damage to the seats, that Mr de Menezes was completely bent over to his left such that his head was overhanging seat number 3.

All the shots were fired from right to left, and the bullets appeared to have travelled largely horizontally with respect to the head. Given that Mr Tomei concludes that the head was bent over to the left, he thinks that the guns therefore must have been pointed downwards.

He also carried out forensic examination of all the firearms that had been collected from the CO19 officers involved in the incident, and he was able to establish that only two guns had contributed to the death of

1 Mr de Menezes and they were the block pistols issued to

2	Charlie 12 and Charlie 2.
3	He collected nine fired cartridge cases and was able
4	to associate three with Charlie 12's gun and six with
5	Charlie 2's gun.
6	These forensic tests also led him to be able to
7	conclude that, at the time of firing, the muzzles of the
8	guns were a maximum of 8 centimetres or 3 inches and
9	a minimum of 1 centimetre or half an inch from the skin.
10	He also reported on the findings of two of his
11	colleagues, Mr Keeley and Mr Chapman. Mr Keeley is
12	a forensic firearms expert and he was able to say that
13	the discharged residue on the clothing of Charlie 2 and
L 4	Charlie 12 indicates they were in the immediate area of
15	Mr de Menezes when the shots were fired. Ivor's
16	clothing contained less residue, indicating that he was
L7	either further away or shielded by the others.
18	Mr Chapman is a biologist, specialising in evidence
19	to be gleaned from biological trace evidence from such
20	bodily fluids as blood and also the interpretation of
21	blood patterns at possible crime scenes.
22	He also agreed with Mr Tomei that the likely
23	position of Mr de Menezes' body when the shots were
24	fired was that he was on or over the second seat from
25	the double doors with his head leaning over the third

```
1
         heavily bloodstained seat.
 2
             He's also able to say, from the blood distribution
 3
         found on Ivor's clothing, that this was the one to be
         expected from Ivor's description of holding
 4
 5
         Mr de Menezes in a bear hug while the shooting occurred;
         while the blood distribution on Charlie 2 and
 6
         Charlie 12's clothing is what he would expect if, as
 7
         they both state, they were close to Jean Charles
 8
         de Menezes during the shooting.
 9
             He was also able to confirm to Mr Mansfield that the
10
11
         design of 124-grain hollow point bullets is such that
12
         the mushrooming effect caused when the bullet strikes is
         calculated to generate a huge amount of energy, and with
13
         a tissue as fragile as the brain itself, then the energy
14
         generated by a single shot would cause extensive brain
15
16
         damage, and would probably be sufficient to
17
         incapacitate, and if not fatal in itself, very nearly
         fatal.
18
19
             After the incident was over, two senior officers
20
         were quickly appointed as post-incident managers,
         Chief Inspector Costello for CO19 and
21
         Detective Chief Inspector Edser for the Special Branch.
22
         All the black team firearms officers and all the grey
23
24
         team surveillance officers were brought eventually to
25
         the CO19 base at Leman Street for debriefing. This
```

1	decision was taken so that everyone could be brought
2	into a single location and the investigators from the
3	Department of Professional Standards, who had been stood
4	up to investigate this happening, would not have to
5	split their resources.
6	Costello's initial task was administrative,
7	arranging for the seizure of clothing and firearms used
8	by the officers involved, and to ensure that nobody was
9	injured. By 1.30 Trojan 84 was also back at
10	Leman Street, and he gave Mr Costello a full account of
11	what happened. I am going to read you the point in the
12	log where the specialist firearms officers became
13	involved.
14	The log entry reads:
15	"It was reported that the suspect appeared jumpy and
16	furtive and they were informed that he was not to be
17	allowed to get into the tube. However, at the time SO19
18	were not able to get there in time. On arrival at
19	Stockwell tube the suspect had already gone into the
20	station and SFO officers deployed into the station, down
21	the escalators into the hall leading to the platforms.
22	They were met there by a surveillance officer who
23	indicated the platform and train. Officers went with
24	this officer and onto the train. Immediately the
25	suspect was pointed out he launched himself at the

1	officer. Specialist firearms officers had got in
2	between and shot the suspect in the head. Charlie 12
3	shot a couple of times and Charlie 2 shot five or six
4	times. Trojan 84 also added that the subject had
5	access to weapons and was involved in terrorist bombing
6	of 21 July. During surveillance, instructions received
7	that the subject was not to be allowed to be lost in the
8	entered tube and that radio communications were poor."
9	Trojan 84 confirmed that this information had come
10	largely from Charlie 2 and Charlie 12, but it may be
11	significant that this was an account given before the
12	officers had begun to prepare their notes.
13	As a result of advice from the Police Federation
14	representative that's essentially the junior
15	officers' trade union and legal advice available to
16	those officers, it was decided that Charlie 2 and
17	Charlie 12 would not be writing anything at that stage,
18	and indeed they did not make their notes in the form of
19	a statement until the following day. This was agreed to
20	by the senior investigating officer for the Department
21	of Professional Standards, Mr Evans.
22	Everybody came back to Leman Street at midday on the
23	Saturday, as did the Special Branch officers. All
24	officers including Charlie 2 and Charlie 12 then
25	prepared detailed notes in statement form, although the

two groups of officers wrote up their statements
separately and in separate rooms. Any conversations
between the officers themselves were not monitored, nor
were they supervised.

2.0

However, Charlie 2 and Charlie 12 both told you that they were still writing their statements when everyone else had finished, and although they may have compared notes on timings and locations, they did not collaborate upon the preparation of their statements. However, everyone accepts that there was general conversation about the events of the previous day before statements were made.

So far as the SO12 Special Branch officers are concerned, Mr Edser confirmed that the grey team did not spend any time in company with the firearms officers, who had been involved in this operation, nor did any discussion of which this officer was aware take place between any of his officers and the firearms officers that day.

Their log was debriefed in a room adjacent to the canteen and separate from where the firearms officers were accommodated. However, it did emerge that at that debrief, the officers were instructed only to write up their initial notes to a point where any of them went down on to the platform and not to cover anything that

- 1 happened on the train.
- 2 This instruction came from the senior investigating
- 3 officer of the Directorate of Professional Standards,
- 4 Mr Evans, and Mr Edser suggested that this might have
- 5 been because the surveillance was regarded as being over
- 6 at that point.
- 7 In any event, the surveillance had entered in a very
- 8 unusual way in respect of which other considerations
- 9 will arise and in particular an investigation into how
- 10 a man had come to be shot, and Mr Edser expressed the
- 11 view that where a sudden, violent and frightening event
- 12 had taken place, then it would be desirable to allow
- a little time to elapse before officers would be asked
- 14 to make a statement in relation to that part of the
- 15 story.
- 16 In fact, Ivor and Ken, who wrote supplementary E in
- 17 the surveillance log, went beyond the limitation that
- had been directed and did go on to deal with events on
- 19 the train, although their supplementary notes stop
- 20 before any shooting takes place.
- Of course, you will remember that all these officers
- 22 made formal statements in due course which they were
- 23 allowed to refer to when they were giving evidence, and
- those statements cover the events that happened in the
- 25 railway carriage as well.

1	Well, ladies and gentlemen, you will be relieved to
2	hear that that is about the sum total of the summary
3	I am going to give you. I have about 30 to 40 minutes
4	left simply to review some matters that you have to look
5	at, and that I am going to do tomorrow morning.
6	I am quite sure you have had more than enough for
7	today, so we can break off now. Would you like to be
8	back, please, again at 10 o'clock. I shall be sending
9	you out to consider your verdict soon after that.
10	MR HILLIARD: May I just detain you for a moment, sir?
11	Thank you.
12	SIR MICHAEL WRIGHT: 10 o'clock tomorrow morning.
13	(3.30 pm)
14	(The court adjourned until 10.00 am on
9	Thursday, 4 December 2008)

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
2		
3	SUMMING-UP (continued)	1
4		
5	Statement of DCI KEVIN SOUTHWORTH (read)	2
6		
7	Statement of DC MARTIN EDWARDS (read)	4
8		
9	In the absence of the jury	125
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		